Faculty Senate Campus Perception Survey Results for 2017-2018

University Results	pp. 3 - 6
Results by College	
College of Business Administration	pp. 7-10
College of Criminal Justice	pp. 11 - 14
College of Education	pp. 15 - 18
College Fine Arts and Mass Communication	pp. 19 -22
College of Health Sciences	pp. 23 - 26
College of Humanities and Social Sciences	pp. 27 - 30
College of Science and Technology	pp. 30 - 33
Newton Gresham Library	pp. 35 - 38
Comparison Across Colleges	pp. 39 - 40
Historical Comparison	pp. 41 - 42

University Results 2017 - 2018

359
300
298
581
51.29%
39
22

				Much more than		More than				Less	than	Much le	ss than			No
				Satisfac	Satisfactory (5)		tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N/A		Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	308	3.16	1.11	37	12.01%	63	20.45%	113	36.69%	44	14.29%	24	7.79%	27	8.77%	40
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	309	3.53	1.08	62	20.06%	71	22.98%	96	31.07%	31	10.03%	10	3.24%	39	12.62%	39
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	301	3.43	0.88	18	5.98%	46	15.28%	67	22.26%	15	4.98%	2	0.66%	153	50.83%	47
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	302	3.12	1.10	20	6.62%	34	11.26%	70	23.18%	24	7.95%	15	4.97%	139	46.03%	46
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	301	3.36	0.97	20	6.64%	37	12.29%	69	22.92%	13	4.32%	6	1.99%	156	51.83%	47
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	305	3.73	0.98	51	16.72%	73	23.93%	67	21.97%	13	4.26%	5	1.64%	96	31.48%	43
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	304	3.35	1.13	38	12.50%	52	17.11%	76	25.00%	26	8.55%	15	4.93%	97	31.91%	44
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	302	3.76	0.95	46	15.23%	40	13.25%	64	21.19%	9	2.98%	1	0.33%	142	47.02%	46
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	302	3.63	1.02	43	14.24%	59	19.54%	65	21.52%	15	4.97%	6	1.99%	114	37.75%	46
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	305	3.42	1.20	45	14.75%	52		65	21.31%	22	7.21%	18	5.90%	103	33.77%	43
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	300	3.74	0.88	33	11.00%	50	16.67%	55	18.33%	6	2.00%	1	0.33%	155	51.67%	48
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	299	3.35	1.04	26	8.70%	35	11.71%	68	22.74%	19	6.35%	7	2.34%	144	48.16%	49
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	301	3.54	1.01	35	11.63%	53	17.61%	69	22.92%	13	4.32%	7	2.33%	124	41.20%	47

University - Whole						Somewhat agree		Neither A	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
University - whole				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	l)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disagr	ee (2)	(1	L)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	306	2.7128	1.3909776	29	9.48%	80	26.14%	42	13.73%	55	17.97%	83	27.12%	17	5.56%	42
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	305	2.5321	1.3832037	28	9.18%	58	19.02%	40	13.11%	63	20.66%	91	29.84%	25	8.20%	43
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	305	3.9452	1.2889457	135	44.26%	83	27.21%	20	6.56%	31	10.16%	23	7.54%	13	4.26%	43
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	304	3.0784	1.3731901	44	14.47%	79	25.99%	52	17.11%	40	13.16%	53	17.43%	36	11.84%	44
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	306	2.96	1.3826545	43	14.05%	88	28.76%	47	15.36%	58	18.95%	64	20.92%	6	1.96%	42
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	305	3.234	1.3972531	65	21.31%	75	24.59%	49	16.07%	47	15.41%	46	15.08%	23	7.54%	43
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	304	3.3729	1.2574969	50	16.45%	72	23.68%	55	18.09%	34	11.18%	25	8.22%	68	22.37%	44
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	302	3.7575	1.2827707	107	35.43%	102	33.77%	29	9.60%	38	12.58%	25	8.28%	1	0.33%	46
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																
adequate.	299	3.8977	1.0292215	69	23.08%	83	27.76%	42	14.05%	14	4.68%	7	2.34%	84	28.09%	49
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	300	3.9959	1.0446383	90	30.00%	94	31.33%	30	10.00%	20	6.67%	7	2.33%	59	19.67%	48
Library Services meets my needs.	302	4.2128	0.940111	132	43.71%	102	33.77%	29	9.60%	14	4.64%	5	1.66%	20	6.62%	46
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	301	4.2146	0.9096854	121	40.20%	92	30.56%	35	11.63%	9	2.99%	4	1.33%	40	13.29%	47
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	301	3.6933	1.1192061	62	20.60%	79	26.25%	45	14.95%	31	10.30%	8	2.66%	76	25.25%	47
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	298	3.6204	1.1406963	67	22.48%	103	34.56%	49	16.44%	43	14.43%	12	4.03%	24	8.05%	50
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	300	3.1464	1.3254422	37	12.33%	83	27.67%	33	11.00%	50	16.67%	36	12.00%	61	20.33%	48
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																
needs of the faculty.	300	3.5284	1.3841466	93	31.00%	74	24.67%	35	11.67%	49	16.33%	31	10.33%	18	6.00%	48
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	301	3.0989	1.287655	43	14.29%	81	26.91%	60	19.93%	59	19.60%	40	13.29%	18	5.98%	47
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	301	3.4912	1.1975532	54	17.94%	69	22.92%	56	18.60%	33	10.96%	16	5.32%	73	24.25%	47
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring																
Services.	298	3.5135	1.110676	37	12.42%	64	21.48%	52	17.45%	21	7.05%	11	3.69%	113	37.92%	50

Huissaniks, Mileala						Somewh	at agree	Neither /	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
University - Whole				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	1)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disagr	ee (2)	(1	L)	N/A		Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	299	3.56	1.0268398	46	15.38%	95	31.77%	70	23.41%	31	10.37%	8	2.68%	49	16.39%	49
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	297	3.3213	1.1458958	32	10.77%	74	24.92%	70	23.57%	23	7.74%	22	7.41%	76	25.59%	51
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	300	3.251	1.1657946	33	11.00%	94	31.33%	69	23.00%	40	13.33%	27	9.00%	37	12.33%	48
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	300	3.5933	1.1696854	62	20.67%	102	34.00%	59	19.67%	23	7.67%	22	7.33%	32	10.67%	48
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	300	4.0052	1.0129238	74	24.67%	66	22.00%	35	11.67%	13	4.33%	4	1.33%	108	36.00%	48
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	299	3.6098	1.1713667	44	14.72%	51	17.06%	40	13.38%	19	6.35%	10	3.34%	135	45.15%	49
There is adequate parking for faculty.	302	2.8374	1.4060625	41	13.58%	73	24.17%	43	14.24%	62	20.53%	70	23.18%	13	4.30%	46
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	301	3.5729	1.2678329	83	27.57%	96	31.89%	48	15.95%	43	14.29%	25	8.31%	6	1.99%	47
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	302	3.5421	1.4836073	116	38.41%	58	19.21%	39	12.91%	39	12.91%	45	14.90%	5	1.66%	46
I feel physically safe on campus.	302	4.2525	0.9429173	150	49.67%	96	31.79%	32	10.60%	14	4.64%	5	1.66%	5	1.66%	46
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	300	3.7985	1.1830889	91	30.33%	93	31.00%	37	12.33%	33	11.00%	14	4.67%	32	10.67%	48
My teaching load is fair.	299	4.055	1.0984529	130	43.48%	91	30.43%	36	12.04%	24	8.03%	10	3.34%	8	2.68%	49
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	300	3.3103	1.2485426	61	20.33%	80	26.67%	59	19.67%	68	22.67%	22	7.33%	10	3.33%	48
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	301	3.2671	1.2549268	50	16.61%	85	28.24%	61	20.27%	51	16.94%	30	9.97%	24	7.97%	47
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	301	3.189	1.3298125	58	19.27%	77	25.58%	57	18.94%	60	19.93%	39	12.96%	10	3.32%	47
I receive adequate clerical support.	299	3.6092	1.3316518	94	31.44%	79	26.42%	47	15.72%	34	11.37%	30	10.03%	15	5.02%	49
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	301	3.7432	1.3285921	116	38.54%	76	25.25%	45	14.95%	30	9.97%	29	9.63%	5	1.66%	47
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	297	3.1737	1.2466131	34	11.45%	41	13.80%	63	21.21%	28	9.43%	24	8.08%	107	36.03%	51
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	299	3.7085	1.2091835	71	23.75%	67	22.41%	52	17.39%	15	5.02%	18	6.02%	76	25.42%	49
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	298	3.7028	1.1781788	65	21.81%	65	21.81%	50	16.78%	18	6.04%	14	4.70%	86	28.86%	50

University - Whole						Somewh	at agree	Neither A	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
University - whole				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N/A		Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	300	2.8163	1.3870237	36	12.00%	69	23.00%	59	19.67%	45	15.00%	74	24.67%	17	5.67%	48
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	300	2.6127	1.3418958	27	9.00%	59	19.67%	57	19.00%	59	19.67%	82	27.33%	16	5.33%	48
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	298	2.7441	1.3771667	25	8.39%	47	15.77%	46	15.44%	35	11.74%	58	19.46%	87	29.19%	50
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	298	2.5468	1.3578914	19	6.38%	40	13.42%	39	13.09%	40	13.42%	65	21.81%	95	31.88%	50
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	298	3.6431	1.3044673	91	30.54%	71	23.83%	54	18.12%	26	8.72%	27	9.06%	29	9.73%	50
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	298		1.2480086					50		57		_		13		50
The merit system is applied fairly.	299		1.3091131				23.08%	65	21.74%	46	15.38%	52	17.39%	34	11.37%	49
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	298		1.3296237					44	14.77%	42				58		50
The promotion system is applied fairly.	297	3.3056	1.3235837	52	17.51%	78	26.26%	54	18.18%	31	10.44%	37	12.46%	45	15.15%	51
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	297	3.4297	1.4201814	79	26.60%	69	23.23%	41	13.80%	34	11.45%	40	13.47%	34	11.45%	51
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	298	3.274	1.3549702	65	21.81%	71	23.83%	62	20.81%	42	14.09%	41	13.76%	17	5.70%	50
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	297	3.4223	1.3693193	61	20.54%	45	15.15%	47	15.82%	26	8.75%	27	9.09%	91	30.64%	51
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	296	3.5914	1.41854	100	33.78%	72	24.32%	40	13.51%	27	9.12%	40	13.51%	17	5.74%	52
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	298	3.6029	1.4156656	99	33.22%	69	23.15%	39	13.09%	27	9.06%	38	12.75%	26	8.72%	50
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	297	2.568	1.3352106	28	9.43%	60	20.20%	45	15.15%	79	26.60%	82	27.61%	3	1.01%	51
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	298	2.3536	1.3010935	21	7.05%	47	15.77%	37	12.42%	80	26.85%	95	31.88%	18	6.04%	50
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	297	3.7872	1.1705728	99	33.33%	100	33.67%	46	15.49%	37	12.46%	14	4.71%	1	0.34%	51

College of Business Administration

43
40
38
85
44.71%
2
3

				Much more than		More than				Less			ess than			No
				Satisfactory (5)		Satisfac	Satisfactory (4)		tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N/	/A	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	41	3.23	1.09	5	12.20%	9	21.95%	18	43.90%	6	14.63%	2	4.88%	1	2.44%	2
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	41	3.68	1.13	11	26.83%	11	26.83%	11	26.83%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	2
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	38	3.30	1.00	3	7.89%	4	10.53%	10	26.32%	2	5.26%	1	2.63%	18	47.37%	5
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	39	3.39	1.01	4	10.26%	5	12.82%	11	28.21%	2	5.13%	1	2.56%	16	41.03%	4
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	38	3.39	1.06	3	7.89%	5	13.16%	7	18.42%	2	5.26%	1	2.63%	20	52.63%	5
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	40	3.73	1.06	8	20.00%	6	15.00%	10	25.00%	1	2.50%	1	2.50%	14	35.00%	3
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	40	3.55	1.13	7	17.50%	8	20.00%	10	25.00%	2	5.00%	2	5.00%	11	27.50%	3
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	38	3.73	0.85	4	10.53%	3	7.89%	8	21.05%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	23	60.53%	5
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	40	3.29	1.14	4	10.00%	6	15.00%	9	22.50%	3	7.50%	2	5.00%	16	40.00%	3
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	39	3.94	0.83	5	12.82%	5	12.82%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	23	58.97%	4
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	39	3.62	0.74	2	5.13%	4	10.26%	7	17.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	26	66.67%	4
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	38	3.45	1.02	3	7.89%	7	18.42%	7	18.42%	2	5.26%	1	2.63%	18	47.37%	5
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	39	3.52	1.06	4	10.26%	9	23.08%	6	15.38%	3	7.69%	1	2.56%	16	41.03%	4
Dean (M. Muehsam)	41	3.00	1.28	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	10	24.39%	11	26.83%	5	12.20%	1	2.44%	2
Associate Dean (K. Jesswein)	40	3.64	1.10	11	27.50%	10	25.00%	12	30.00%	5	12.50%	1	2.50%	1	2.50%	3
Associate Dean (V. Muehsam)	40	3.83	0.96	9	22.50%	16	40.00%	8	20.00%	2	5.00%	1	2.50%	4	10.00%	3

СОВА						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
СОВА				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	41	2.125	1.144279	1	2.44%	6	14.63%	5	12.20%	13	31.71%	15	36.59%	1	2.44%	2
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	41	1.9	1.019804	0	0.00%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	10	24.39%	19	46.34%	1	2.44%	2
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	40	3.7	1.228821	12	30.00%	16	40.00%	2	5.00%	8	20.00%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%	3
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	40	3.38462	1.211386	6	15.00%	16	40.00%	9	22.50%	3	7.50%	5	12.50%	1	2.50%	3
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	41	2.92683	1.313908	3	7.32%	16	39.02%	6	14.63%	7	17.07%	9	21.95%	0	0.00%	2
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	41	3.39474	1.136464	6	14.63%	15	36.59%	7	17.07%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	2
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	41	3.62162	1.215916	10	24.39%	13	31.71%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	3	7.32%	4	9.76%	2
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	41	4.17073	1.145563	21	51.22%	14	34.15%	0	0.00%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	2
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are	2															
adequate.	41	4.24242	0.888659	15	36.59%	13	31.71%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	8	19.51%	2
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	41	4.24242	0.888659	15	36.59%	13	31.71%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	8	19.51%	2
Library Services meets my needs.	40	4.26316	0.817062	17	42.50%	16	40.00%	3	7.50%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%	2	5.00%	3
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	40	4.47059	0.605625	18	45.00%	14	35.00%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	15.00%	3
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	40	3.52	0.899778	3	7.50%	11	27.50%	7	17.50%	4	10.00%	0	0.00%	15	37.50%	3
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	40	3.37838	1.099173	6	15.00%	13	32.50%	8	20.00%	9	22.50%	1	2.50%	3	7.50%	3
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	39	3.09677	1.30396	5	12.82%	9	23.08%	5	12.82%	8	20.51%	4	10.26%	8	20.51%	4
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the	2															
needs of the faculty.	39	4.26316	0.848659	18	46.15%	14	35.90%	4	10.26%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%	1	2.56%	4
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	40	2.82051	1.059071	2	5.00%	9	22.50%	12	30.00%	12	30.00%	4	10.00%	1	2.50%	3
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	40	3.63636	1.009596	7	17.50%	13	32.50%	7	17.50%	6	15.00%	0	0.00%	7	17.50%	3
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring																
Services.	39	3.86364	1.057246	6	15.38%	11	28.21%	2	5.13%	2	5.13%	1	2.56%	17	43.59%	4

СОВА						Somewh	at agree	Neither A	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
СОВА				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	1)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disagr	ee (2)	(:	L)	N/A		Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	40	3.76471	1.001729	8	20.00%	15	37.50%	7	17.50%	3	7.50%	1	2.50%	6	15.00%	3
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	40	3.25806	1.106221	3	7.50%	12	30.00%	9	22.50%	4	10.00%	3	7.50%	9	22.50%	3
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	40	3.55556	0.984447	4	10.00%	19	47.50%	8	20.00%	3	7.50%	2	5.00%	4	10.00%	3
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	40	3.72222	1.043794	8	20.00%	16	40.00%	8	20.00%	2	5.00%	2	5.00%	4	10.00%	3
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	40	3.81818	1.140296	12	30.00%	9	22.50%	7	17.50%	4	10.00%	1	2.50%	7	17.50%	3
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	39	3.54839	1.159497	8	20.51%	9	23.08%	7	17.95%	6	15.38%	1	2.56%	8	20.51%	4
There is adequate parking for faculty.	40	2.65	1.314344	4	10.00%	8	20.00%	8	20.00%	10	25.00%	10	25.00%	0	0.00%	3
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	40	3.6	1.260952	11	27.50%	15	37.50%	4	10.00%	7	17.50%	3	7.50%	0	0.00%	3
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	40	3.65	1.275735	15	37.50%	7	17.50%	9	22.50%	7	17.50%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%	3
I feel physically safe on campus.	40	4.30769	0.789477	18	45.00%	17	42.50%	2	5.00%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%	1	2.50%	3
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	40	3.81579	1.096772	12	30.00%	14	35.00%	6	15.00%	5	12.50%	1	2.50%	2	5.00%	3
My teaching load is fair.	40	3.85	1.173669	15	37.50%	13	32.50%	4	10.00%	7	17.50%	1	2.50%	0	0.00%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	41	2.90244	1.121951	4	9.76%	9	21.95%	10	24.39%	15	36.59%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	2
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	41	3.12821	1.264495	7	17.07%	9	21.95%	9	21.95%	10	24.39%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%	2
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	41	3.125	1.187171	5	12.20%	13	31.71%	7	17.07%	12	29.27%	3	7.32%	1	2.44%	2
I receive adequate clerical support.	40	3.87179	1.113541	13	32.50%	15	37.50%	6	15.00%	3	7.50%	2	5.00%	1	2.50%	3
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	41	3.58537	1.306188	12	29.27%	14	34.15%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	2
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	39	3.28571	0.880631	3	7.69%	3	7.69%	12	30.77%	3	7.69%	0	0.00%	18	46.15%	4
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	40	3.66667	1.276569	8	20.00%	10	25.00%	4	10.00%	2	5.00%	3	7.50%	13	32.50%	3
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	39	3.65217	1.201763	6	15.38%	9	23.08%	4	10.26%	2	5.13%	2	5.13%	16	41.03%	4

СОВА						Somewh	at agree	ree Neither Agree or		Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COBA	Strongly Agree (5)			(4	4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response		
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	40	2.775	1.405125	6	15.00%	9	22.50%	4	10.00%	12	30.00%	9	22.50%	0	0.00%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	40	2.425	1.301682	4	10.00%	5	12.50%	7	17.50%	12	30.00%	12	30.00%	0	0.00%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	40	2.625	1.363589	3	7.50%	9	22.50%	1	2.50%	11	27.50%	8	20.00%	8	20.00%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	40	2.1875	1.333171	3	7.50%	4	10.00%	2	5.00%	10	25.00%	13	32.50%	8	20.00%	3
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	41	3.175	1.242729	6	14.63%	11	26.83%	13	31.71%	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	1	2.44%	2
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	40	2.73684	1.249931	3	7.50%	9	22.50%	9	22.50%	9	22.50%	8	20.00%	2	5.00%	3
The merit system is applied fairly.	41	3	1.269296	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	11	26.83%	8	19.51%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	2
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	40	2.22857	1.123406	1	2.50%	4	10.00%	9	22.50%	9	22.50%	12	30.00%	5	12.50%	3
The promotion system is applied fairly.	40	2.94118	1.493932	7	17.50%	7	17.50%	6	15.00%	5	12.50%	9	22.50%	6	15.00%	3
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	41	2.89189	1.555874	9	21.95%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	8	19.51%	10	24.39%	4	9.76%	2
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	40	2.74359	1.497313	7	17.50%	7	17.50%	6	15.00%	7	17.50%	12	30.00%	1	2.50%	3
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	40	3.15625	1.301667	7	17.50%	6	15.00%	7	17.50%	9	22.50%	3	7.50%	8	20.00%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	40	3.46154	1.194993	9	22.50%	12	30.00%	8	20.00%	8	20.00%	2	5.00%	1	2.50%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	40	3.74359	1.170535	13	32.50%	11	27.50%	9	22.50%	4	10.00%	2	5.00%	1	2.50%	3
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	40	2.5641	1.215179	3	7.50%	8	20.00%	4	10.00%	17	42.50%	7	17.50%	1	2.50%	3
·																
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	40	2.10256	1.2361	2	5.00%	5	12.50%	5	12.50%	10	25.00%	17	42.50%	1	2.50%	3
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	40	3.725	0.999687	9	22.50%	17	42.50%	9	22.50%	4	10.00%	1	2.50%	0	0.00%	3

College of Criminal Justice

Total number of respondents	22
Completed Surveys	19
Tenured/Tenure-Track	17
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	39
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	43.59%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	3
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	2

				Much mo		More	than			Less	than	Much le	ess than			No
				Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N,	/A	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	19	3.67	0.94	4	21.05%	6	31.58%	6	31.58%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%	1	5.26%	3
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	19	3.87	0.96	5	26.32%	4	21.05%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	4	21.05%	3
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	19	3.73	0.75	2	10.53%	4	21.05%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8	42.11%	3
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	19	3.42	0.95	2	10.53%	3	15.79%	5	26.32%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%	7	36.84%	3
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	19	3.67	0.82	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10	52.63%	3
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	19	3.86	0.74	3	15.79%	6	31.58%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	26.32%	3
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	19	3.67	0.85	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	7	36.84%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	36.84%	3
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	19	3.90	0.94	4	21.05%	1	5.26%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	47.37%	3
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	19	3.36	1.23	3	15.79%	1	5.26%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	8	42.11%	3
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	19	3.36	1.34	4	21.05%	2	10.53%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%	5	26.32%	3
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	19	3.86	0.99	5	26.32%	3	15.79%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	5	26.32%	3
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	19	3.42	0.86	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	7	36.84%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	7	36.84%	3
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	19	3.58	0.95	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	6	31.58%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	7	36.84%	3
Dean (P. Lyons)	21	3.81	1.33	10	47.62%	2	9.52%	6	28.57%	1	4.76%	2	9.52%	0	0.00%	1
Associate Dean (W. King)	21	4.00	1.20	11	52.38%	2	9.52%	6	28.57%	1	4.76%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%	1
Associate Dean (H. Miller)	21	3.78	1.03	6	28.57%	4	19.05%	6	28.57%	2	9.52%	0	0.00%	3	14.29%	1

cocı						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COCI				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagı	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	20	2.82	1.25	1	5.00%	5	25.00%	5	25.00%	2	10.00%	4	20.00%	3	15.00%	2
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	20	2.82	1.20	2	10.00%	2	10.00%	7	35.00%	3	15.00%	3	15.00%	3	15.00%	2
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	20	4.28	1.04	10	50.00%	5	25.00%	2	10.00%	0	0.00%	:	5.00%	2	10.00%	2
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	20	3.29	1.36	4	20.00%	4	20.00%	5	25.00%	1	5.00%	3	15.00%	3	15.00%	2
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	20	3.60	1.28	5	25.00%	8	40.00%	4	20.00%	0	0.00%	3	15.00%	0	0.00%	2
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	20	4.00	1.11	8	40.00%	4	20.00%	5	25.00%	0	0.00%	:	5.00%	2	10.00%	2
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	20	3.85	1.10	4	20.00%	5	25.00%	3	15.00%	0	0.00%		5.00%	7	35.00%	2
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	19	4.32	0.86	10	52.63%	6	31.58%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%		0.00%	0	0.00%	3
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are	و															
adequate.	18	4.13	0.62	4	22.22%	9	50.00%	2	11.11%	0	0.00%	(0.00%	3	16.67%	4
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	19	4.12	0.76	5	26.32%	10	52.63%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	(0.00%	2	10.53%	3
Library Services meets my needs.	19	4.35	0.76	8	42.11%	8	42.11%	0	0.00%	1	5.26%	(0.00%	2	10.53%	3
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	19	4.24	0.81	7	36.84%	8	42.11%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	(0.00%	2	10.53%	3
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	19	3.73	1.24	6	31.58%	2	10.53%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	:	5.26%	4	21.05%	3
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	19	4.33	0.75	9	47.37%	6	31.58%	3	15.79%	0	0.00%	(0.00%	1	5.26%	3
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	19	3.94	1.03	6	31.58%	8	42.11%	1	5.26%	3	15.79%	(0.00%	1	5.26%	3
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the	2															
needs of the faculty.	19	3.72	1.37	8	42.11%	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	4	21.05%	:	5.26%	1	5.26%	3
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	19	3.71	0.96	4	21.05%	6	31.58%	5	26.32%	2	10.53%	(0.00%	2	10.53%	3
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	19	4.13	0.78	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%		0.00%	11	57.89%	3
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring																
Services.	19	3.88	1.05	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	(0.00%	11	57.89%	3

coci						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COCI				Strongly	Agree (5)	(-	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagi	ree (2)	(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	19	3.73	0.62	1	5.26%	6	31.58%	4	21.05%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8	42.11%	3
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	19	4.07	0.88	5	26.32%	6	31.58%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	5	26.32%	3
The consistence was ideal by ADAMADK are adamysts	10	2.00	1.10	1	F 260/	4	24.050/		24 050/	_	10.530/		10.530/	_	24 500/	_
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	19	3.00	1.18	1	5.26%	4	21.05%	4	21.05%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	6	31.58%	3
The Human Resource Department offers me				40	F0 600/		40.500/		24 050/		40.500/		0.000/		- a.c./	
adequate services.	19	4.11	1.10	10	52.63%	2	10.53%	4	21.05%		10.53%	0	0.00%	1	5.26%	3
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	19	4.14	1.12	8	42.11%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%	5	26.32%	3
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	19	3.22	1.55	3	15.79%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%	10	52.63%	3
There is adequate parking for faculty.	19	3.28		4					10.53%				+			
My physical work environment	13	3.20	1.71		21.03/0		31.3070		10.5570	3	13.7370		13.7370		3.2070	
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	19	3.61	1.21	6	31.58%	4	21.05%	2	15.79%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%	1	5.26%	2
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the	13	3.01	1.21	- 0	31.36/6	4	21.03/0		13.7370	, J	20.32/0	0	0.0076	1	3.20/6	3
workplace.	19	4.00	1.26	10	52.63%	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	2
I feel physically safe on campus.	19			13			10.53%		15.79%					_		
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly	15	4.42	0.54	13	00.42/0		10.55%	3	13.75/0		3.20%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
in my College.	19	4.44	0.96	13	68.42%	1	5.26%	2	15.79%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	1	5.26%	2
My teaching load is fair.	19				84.21%			_	15.79%			_				
iviy teaching load is fair.	19	4.00	0.73	10	04.21%	0	0.00%	3	15.79%	U	0.00%	0	0.00%	U	0.00%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	19	4.11	1.07	10	52.63%	3	15.79%	4	21.05%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	19	3.76	1.39	8	42.11%	2	10.53%	4	21.05%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	19	3.94	1.39	10	52.63%				15.79%	1			10.53%	1	5.26%	3
I receive adequate clerical support.	19	4.28	1.19	12	63.16%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	3
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	19	4.11	1.33	11	57.89%	4	21.05%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%	3
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	19	4.09	1.08	6	31.58%	1	5.26%	3	15.79%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%	8	42.11%	3
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	19	3.73	1.39	6	31.58%	4	21.05%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	2	10.53%	4	21.05%	3
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	10	4.00	117	_	26.040/	_	26.220/		10 530/		F 200/	1	F 36%		15 700/	
EIIIIAIICEIIIEIIL GIAIIL IOI KESEATCII.	19	4.00	1.17	7	36.84%	5	26.32%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	3	15.79%	3

coci						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
COCI				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagı	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	((1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	19	2.65	1.53	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	3	15.79%	ϵ	31.58%	2	10.53%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	19	2.67	1.37	1	5.26%	6	31.58%	3	15.79%	2	10.53%	ϵ	31.58%	1	5.26%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	19	2.88	1.45	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	5 4	21.05%	3	15.79%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	19	2.75	1.39	1	5.26%	6	31.58%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	5 5	26.32%	3	15.79%	3
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	19	4.22	1.13	10	52.63%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	3
The FES is an adequate measurement of my	13	1.22	1.13	10	32.0370		20.3270		3.2070		3.2070	, <u>-</u>	3.2070	_	3.20%	, ,
performance as a faculty member.	19	3.72	1.24	5	26.32%	8	42.11%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	. ,	10.53%	1	5.26%	3
The merit system is applied fairly.	19	3.38		_					5.26%	_				_	0.007	_
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	19	2.62	_		15.79%	_			10.53%		1		26.32%			
The promotion system is applied fairly.	19	4.31	0.77							_				_	15.79%	
The tenure system is applied fairly in my			4111													
department.	19	4.13	1.26	9	47.37%	2	10.53%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	4	21.05%	3
The tenure system process at the university level						_		_			0.1107					
is clear.	19	4.13	0.93	7	36.84%	5	26.32%	3	15.79%	1	5.26%	s c	0.00%	3	15.79%	3
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	19	4.00	1.51	9	47.37%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	3 2	10.53%	5	26.32%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	19	4.38	0.86	9	47.37%	5	26.32%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	s c	0.00%	3	15.79%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	19	4.31	0.85	8	42.11%	6	31.58%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	s c	0.00%	3	15.79%	3
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	19	3.47	1.46	6	31.58%	6	31.58%	1	5.26%	3	15.79%	3	15.79%	0	0.00%	3
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	19	3.19	1.55	-					0.2071				15.79%	3		
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	19	4.42	0.88	12	63.16%	4	21.05%	2	10.53%	1	5.26%	S C	0.00%	0	0.00%	3

College of Education

Total number of respondents	67
Completed Surveys	52
Tenured/Tenure-Track	53
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	85
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	62.35%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	9
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	5

			I	Much mo	re than	More	than			Less	than	Much le	ss than			No
			,	Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N,	/A	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	58	3.13	1.08	8	13.79%	8	13.79%	23	39.66%	11	18.97%	3	5.17%	5	8.62%	9
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	58	3.62	1.01	12	20.69%	16	27.59%	20	34.48%	3	5.17%	2	3.45%	5	8.62%	9
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	57	3.52	0.93	4	7.02%	12	21.05%	8	14.04%	5	8.77%	0	0.00%	28	49.12%	10
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	57	3.52	1.04	6	10.53%	8	14.04%	11	19.30%	3	5.26%	1	1.75%	28	49.12%	10
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	57	3.33	0.98	4	7.02%	7	12.28%	16	28.07%	1	1.75%	2	3.51%	27	47.37%	10
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	57	3.56	0.95	7	12.28%	16	28.07%	15	26.32%	4	7.02%	1	1.75%	14	24.56%	10
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	56	3.53	1.12	9	16.07%	7	12.50%	12	21.43%	5	8.93%	1	1.79%	22	39.29%	11
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	58	4.08	0.88	16	27.59%	12	20.69%	11	18.97%	1	1.72%	0	0.00%	18	31.03%	9
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	55	4.00	0.76	10	18.18%	15	27.27%	10	18.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	20	36.36%	12
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	58	3.40	1.10	9	15.52%	13	22.41%	15	25.86%	8	13.79%	2	3.45%	11	18.97%	9
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	56	3.82	0.85	7	12.50%	10	17.86%	10	17.86%	1	1.79%	0	0.00%	28	50.00%	11
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	55	3.62	1.06	7	12.73%	9	16.36%	9	16.36%	3	5.45%	1	1.82%	26	47.27%	12
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	56	3.81	0.88	9	16.07%	13	23.21%	12	21.43%	2	3.57%	0	0.00%	20	35.71%	11
Dean (S. Edmonson)	57	3.81	1.22	23	40.35%	9	15.79%	13	22.81%	7	12.28%	2	3.51%	3	5.26%	10
Associate Dean (D. Price)	57	3.24	1.46	16	28.07%	8	14.04%	15	26.32%	5	8.77%	11	19.30%	2	3.51%	10
Associate Dean (S. Stewart)	56	3.06	1.36	11	19.64%	5	8.93%	16	28.57%	8	14.29%	8	14.29%	8	14.29%	11
Associate Dean (J. Nerren)	56	3.61	1.17	15	26.79%	10	17.86%	17	30.36%	4	7.14%	3	5.36%	7	12.50%	11

COE						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
COE				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagı	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	56	2.57	1.37	4	7.14%	12	21.43%	8	14.29%	9	16.07%	16	28.57%	7	12.50%	11
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	55	2.66	1.32	5	9.09%	11	20.00%	8	14.55%	14	25.45%	12	21.82%	5	9.09%	12
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	56	4.08	1.12	24	42.86%	16	28.57%	7	12.50%	2	3.57%	3	5.36%	4	7.14%	11
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	56	3.78	1.13	15	26.79%	20	35.71%	9	16.07%	4	7.14%	3	5.36%	5	8.93%	11
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	56	3.35	1.23	11	19.64%	17	30.36%	10	17.86%	12	21.43%	4	7.14%	2	3.57%	11
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	56	3.48	1.39	14	25.00%	18	32.14%	8	14.29%	3	5.36%	9	16.07%	4	7.14%	11
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	56	3.37	1.34	11	19.64%	14	25.00%	8	14.29%	7	12.50%	6	10.71%	10	17.86%	11
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	55	4.09	1.10	25	45.45%	19	34.55%	4	7.27%	5	9.09%	2	3.64%	0	0.00%	12
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are	إ															
adequate.	55	4.23	0.88	21	38.18%	19	34.55%	5	9.09%	1	1.82%	1	1.82%	8	14.55%	12
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	54	4.30	0.85	24	44.44%	20	37.04%	4	7.41%	1	1.85%	1	1.85%	4	7.41%	13
Library Services meets my needs.	55	4.53	0.66	32	58.18%	18	32.73%	2	3.64%	1	1.82%	C	0.00%	2	3.64%	12
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	54	4.57	0.57	29	53.70%	16	29.63%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	12.96%	13
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	55	3.91	1.03	15	27.27%	17	30.91%	8	14.55%	4	7.27%	1	1.82%	10	18.18%	12
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	54	3.96	0.90	14	25.93%	24	44.44%	6	11.11%	5	9.26%	0	0.00%	5	9.26%	13
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	55	3.54	1.27	11	20.00%	21	38.18%	4	7.27%	7	12.73%	5	9.09%	7	12.73%	12
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the	:															
needs of the faculty.	55	3.65	1.34	19	34.55%	14	25.45%	5	9.09%	10	18.18%	4	7.27%	3	5.45%	12
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	55	3.65	1.24	14	25.45%	21	38.18%	7	12.73%	5	9.09%	5	9.09%	3	5.45%	12
· <i>'</i>					2.1276						1.2276		111170			
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	55	3.86	1.23	14	25.45%	13	23.64%	4	7.27%	3	5.45%	3	5.45%	18	32.73%	12
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring	33	5.50						·			2570		21.370			12
Services.	54	3.58	1.10	6	11.11%	13	24.07%	7	12.96%	3	5.56%	2	3.70%	23	42.59%	13

COE						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COE				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagi	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	55	3.88	1.07	17	30.91%	15	27.27%	10	18.18%	5	9.09%	1	1.82%	7	12.73%	12
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	54	3.61	1.16	11	20.37%	9	16.67%	12	22.22%	4	7.41%	2	3.70%	16	29.63%	13
·																
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	54	3.70	0.96	11	20.37%	19	35.19%	15	27.78%	4	7.41%	1	1.85%	4	7.41%	13
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	54	3.89	1.15	17	31.48%	17	31.48%	7	12.96%	3	5.56%	3	5.56%	7	12.96%	13
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	55	4.09	1.03	18	32.73%	22	40.00%	2	3.64%	3	5.45%	2	3.64%	8	14.55%	12
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	54	3.53	1.20	12	22.22%	13	24.07%	9	16.67%	9	16.67%	2	3.70%	9	16.67%	13
There is adequate parking for faculty.	55	2.10	1.23	2	3.64%	9	16.36%	3	5.45%	16	29.09%	22	40.00%	3	5.45%	12
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	54	3.75	1.22	17	31.48%	18	33.33%	8	14.81%	5	9.26%	4	7.41%	2	3.70%	13
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	55	3.42	1.70	24	43.64%	7	12.73%	2	3.64%	7	12.73%	13	23.64%	2	3.64%	12
I feel physically safe on campus.	55	4.38	0.87	30	54.55%	17	30.91%	2	3.64%	4	7.27%	0	0.00%	2	3.64%	12
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	55	4.08	1.06	22	40.00%	17	30.91%	5	9.09%	5	9.09%	1	1.82%	5	9.09%	12
My teaching load is fair.	54	4.41	0.97	34	62.96%	13	24.07%	4	7.41%	1	1.85%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%	13
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	54	3.48	1.28	14	25.93%	16	29.63%	6	11.11%	13	24.07%	3	5.56%	2	3.70%	13
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	55	3.59	1.18	12	21.82%	18	32.73%	9	16.36%	7	12.73%	3	5.45%	6	10.91%	12
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	55	3.46	1.34	14	25.45%	16	29.09%	8	14.55%	8	14.55%	6	10.91%	3	5.45%	12
I receive adequate clerical support.	54	3.48	1.39	16	29.63%	13	24.07%	11	20.37%	4	7.41%	8	14.81%	2	3.70%	13
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	55	3.72	1.39	21	38.18%	15	27.27%	4	7.27%	7	12.73%	6	10.91%	2	3.64%	12
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	53	3.32	1.23	8	15.09%	9	16.98%	10	18.87%	7	13.21%	3	5.66%	16	30.19%	14
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	55	3.97	1.03	14	25.45%	12	21.82%	8	14.55%	2	3.64%	1	1.82%	18	32.73%	12
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	55	3.89	1.03	12	21.82%	14	25.45%	7	12.73%	3	5.45%	1	1.82%	18	32.73%	12

COE						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
601				Strongly	Agree (5)	(-	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	. ([1]	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	55	3.24	1.49	13	23.64%	13	23.64%	10	18.18%	3	5.45%	12	21.82%	4	7.27%	12
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	55	2.81	1.47	9	16.36%	10	18.18%	10	18.18%	8	14.55%	15	27.27%	3	5.45%	12
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	54	3.16	1.48	11	20.37%	10	18.52%	9	16.67%	5	9.26%	10	18.52%	9	16.67%	13
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	54	2.83	1.46	8	14.81%	9	16.67%	9	16.67%	7	12.96%	13	24.07%	8	14.81%	13
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	53	3.68	1.28	16	30.19%	10	18.87%	9	16.98%	6	11.32%	3	5.66%	9	16.98%	14
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	53	3.15	1.40	9	16.98%	15	28.30%	7	13.21%	8	15.09%	g	16.98%	5	9.43%	14
The merit system is applied fairly.	53	2.77	1.45	6	11.32%	13	24.53%	6	11.32%	8	15.09%	14	26.42%	6	11.32%	14
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	53	2.07	1.42	3	5.66%	8	15.09%	3	5.66%	4	7.55%	25	47.17%	10	18.87%	14
The promotion system is applied fairly.	52	3.46	1.21	9	17.31%	18	34.62%	8	15.38%	7	13.46%	4	7.69%	6	11.54%	15
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	52	3.59	1.36	14	26.92%	15	28.85%	8	15.38%	2	3.85%	7	13.46%	6	11.54%	15
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	53	4.00	1.10	21	39.62%	15	28.30%	9	16.98%	3	5.66%	2	3.77%	3	5.66%	14
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	53	3.47	1.37	9	16.98%	10	18.87%	4	7.55%	5	9.43%	4	7.55%	21	39.62%	14
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	53	3.63	1.67	26	49.06%	5	9.43%	3	5.66%	4	7.55%	11	20.75%	4	7.55%	14
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	53	3.57	1.74	25	47.17%	3	5.66%	3	5.66%	3	5.66%	12	22.64%	7	13.21%	14
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	53	2.25	1.28	3	5.66%	9	16.98%	6	11.32%	14	26.42%	20	37.74%	1	1.89%	14
,																
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	53	2.14	1.25	3	5.66%	7	13.21%	5	9.43%	15	28.30%	21	39.62%	2	3.77%	14
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	52						34.62%	4				-				

College of Fine Arts and Mass

Communication

Total number of respondents	47
Completed Surveys	40
Tenured/Tenure-Track	39
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	69
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	56.52%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	6
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	2

				Much mo		More Satisfac		Satisfac	tory (3)	Less Satisfac		Much le Satisfac		N/	′ A	No Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	39	3.12	1.04	3	7.69%	9	23.08%	12	30.77%	7	17.95%	2	5.13%	6	15.38%	8
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	39	3.38	0.96	4	10.26%	8	20.51%	13	33.33%	3	7.69%	1	2.56%	10	25.64%	8
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	39	3.36	0.81	0	0.00%	7	17.95%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	1	2.56%	25	64.10%	8
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	39	2.93	0.93	1	2.56%	2	5.13%	8	20.51%	3	7.69%	1	2.56%	24	61.54%	8
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	39	3.33	0.94	2	5.13%	4	10.26%	6	15.38%	3	7.69%	0	0.00%	24	61.54%	8
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	39	4.19	0.82	11	28.21%	11	28.21%	4	10.26%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%	12	30.77%	8
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	39	3.20	1.06	2	5.13%	9	23.08%	8	20.51%	4	10.26%	2	5.13%	14	35.90%	8
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	39	3.93	0.85	5	12.82%	4	10.26%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	24	61.54%	8
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	39	3.95	0.92	7	17.95%	6	15.38%	6	15.38%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%	19	48.72%	8
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	39	3.79	0.77	3	7.69%	5	12.82%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	25	64.10%	8
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	39	3.80	0.60	1	2.56%	6	15.38%	3	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	29	74.36%	8
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	39	2.92	1.14	1	2.56%	3	7.69%	5	12.82%	2	5.13%	2	5.13%	26	66.67%	8
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	39	3.69	0.72	2	5.13%	5	12.82%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	26	66.67%	8
Dean (R. Shields)	40	3.03	1.39	8	20.00%	7	17.50%	7	17.50%	10	25.00%	6	15.00%	2	5.00%	7
Associate Dean (W. Barrett)	40	3.21	1.21	6	15.00%	3	7.50%	13	32.50%	3	7.50%	3	7.50%	12	30.00%	7
Associate Dean (B. Miller)	40	3.31	1.07	6	15.00%	6	15.00%	13	32.50%	6	15.00%	1	2.50%	8	20.00%	7

COFAMC						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COPAINC				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagi	ee (2)	(:	1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	39	2.42	1.43	3	7.69%	10	25.64%	2	5.13%	8	20.51%	15	38.46%	1	2.56%	8
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	39	2.06	1.14	1	2.56%	5	12.82%	3	7.69%	12	30.77%	14	35.90%	4	10.26%	8
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	39	3.67	1.35	12	30.77%	13	33.33%	2	5.13%	5	12.82%	4	10.26%	3	7.69%	8
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	39	2.67	1.27	2	5.13%	8	20.51%	9	23.08%	5	12.82%	9	23.08%	6	15.38%	8
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	39	2.58	1.33	4	10.26%	7	17.95%	6	15.38%	11	28.21%	10	25.64%	1	2.56%	8
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	39	2.81	1.39	6	15.38%	6	15.38%	9	23.08%	7	17.95%	9	23.08%	2	5.13%	8
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	39	3.19	0.96	1	2.56%	10	25.64%	10	25.64%	3	7.69%	2	5.13%	13	33.33%	8
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	39	3.23	1.40	7	17.95%	15	38.46%	5	12.82%	4	10.26%	8	20.51%	0	0.00%	8
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides																
are adequate.	39	3.18	0.72	0	0.00%	4	10.26%	5	12.82%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%	28	71.79%	8
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	39	3.83	1.05	7	17.95%	9	23.08%	3	7.69%	4	10.26%	0	0.00%	16	41.03%	8
Library Services meets my needs.	39	4.27	0.86	17	43.59%	9	23.08%	6	15.38%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%	6	15.38%	8
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	39	4.33	0.80	18	46.15%	8	20.51%	7	17.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	15.38%	8
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	39	3.55	1.16	5	12.82%	8	20.51%	4	10.26%	4	10.26%	1	2.56%	17	43.59%	8
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	38	3.18	1.24	5	13.16%	11	28.95%	5	13.16%	9	23.68%	3	7.89%	5	13.16%	9
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	39	2.45	1.03	0	0.00%	4	10.26%	7	17.95%	6	15.38%	5	12.82%	17	43.59%	8
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets																
the needs of the faculty.	39	2.72	1.46	6	15.38%	7	17.95%	4	10.26%	9	23.08%	10	25.64%	3	7.69%	8
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	39	2.47	1.07	0	0.00%	8	20.51%	9	23.08%	11	28.21%	8	20.51%	3	7.69%	8
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	39	3.19	0.99	4	10.26%	9	23.08%	14	35.90%	8	20.51%	1	2.56%	3	7.69%	8
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring																
Services.	39	3.08	1.02	3	7.69%	4	10.26%	11	28.21%	6	15.38%	1	2.56%	14	35.90%	8

COFAMC						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COPAIVIC				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagı	ee (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	38	3.13	1.02	3	7.89%	8	21.05%	13	34.21%	6	15.79%	2	5.26%	6	15.79%	9
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	38	3.23	0.70	1	2.63%	6	15.79%	18	47.37%	0	0.00%	1	2.63%	12	31.58%	9
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	39	2.80	1.04	1	2.56%	9	23.08%	11	28.21%	10	25.64%	4	10.26%	4	10.26%	8
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	39	3.34	0.95	3	7.69%	13	33.33%	14	35.90%	3	7.69%	2	5.13%	4	10.26%	8
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	39	4.07	0.80	5	12.82%	5	12.82%	4	10.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	25	64.10%	8
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	39	4.00	0.85	4	10.26%	3	7.69%	4	10.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	28	71.79%	8
There is adequate parking for faculty.	39	2.68	1.25	2	5.13%	10	25.64%	8	20.51%	8	20.51%	9	23.08%	2	5.13%	8
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	39	2.95	1.28	5	12.82%	10	25.64%	8	20.51%	10	25.64%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	8
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	39	3.36	1.40	11	28.21%	10	25.64%	5	12.82%	8	20.51%	5	12.82%	0	0.00%	8
I feel physically safe on campus.	39	4.36	0.73	20	51.28%	13	33.33%	6	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	39	3.62	1.31	10	25.64%	13	33.33%	2	5.13%	6	15.38%	3	7.69%	5	12.82%	8
My teaching load is fair.	39	3.87	1.18	15	38.46%	13	33.33%	3	7.69%	7	17.95%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%	8
· · · · · ·																
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	39	3.33	1.18	6	15.38%	15	38.46%	7	17.95%	8	20.51%	3	7.69%	0	0.00%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	39	2.97	1.32	5	12.82%	10	25.64%	6	15.38%	9	23.08%	6	15.38%	3	7.69%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	39	3.00	1.24	5	12.82%	10	25.64%	7	17.95%	12	30.77%	4	10.26%	1	2.56%	8
I receive adequate clerical support.	39	3.39	1.40	10	25.64%	9	23.08%	8	20.51%	3	7.69%	6	15.38%	3	7.69%	8
There is collegial support within my													Ì			
department/program.	39	3.58	1.25	12	30.77%	8	20.51%	11	28.21%	4	10.26%	3	7.69%	1	2.56%	8
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	39	3.05	1.10	2	5.13%	4	10.26%	8	20.51%	3	7.69%	2	5.13%	20	51.28%	8
-																
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	39	3.59	1.03	7	17.95%	7	17.95%	12	30.77%	2	5.13%	1	2.56%	10	25.64%	8
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	39	3.59	0.99	6	15.38%	7	17.95%	12	30.77%	1	2.56%	1	2.56%	12	30.77%	8

COFAMC						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COLAIME				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagı	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	39	2.49	1.17	1	2.56%	7	17.95%	14	35.90%	5	12.82%	12	30.77%	0	0.00%	8
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	39	2.23	1.14	1	2.56%	5	12.82%	10	25.64%	9	23.08%	14	35.90%	0	0.00%	8
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	39	2.48	1.14	0	0.00%	4	10.26%	9	23.08%	1	2.56%	7	17.95%	18	46.15%	8
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	39	2.27	1.00	0	0.00%	1	2.56%	7	17.95%	2	5.13%	5	12.82%	24	61.54%	8
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	39	3.86	1.27	15	38.46%	9	23.08%	4	10.26%	5	12.82%	2	5.13%	4	10.26%	8
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	39	3.00	1.08	2	5.13%	12	30.77%	12	30.77%	8	20.51%	4	10.26%	1	2.56%	8
The merit system is applied fairly.	39	2.64	1.12	1	2.56%	6	15.38%	14	35.90%	4	10.26%	8	20.51%	6	15.38%	8
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	39	2.19	1.09	1	2.56%	3	7.69%	7	17.95%	10	25.64%	10	25.64%	8	20.51%	8
The promotion system is applied fairly.	39	2.74	1.15	1	2.56%	9	23.08%	11	28.21%	6	15.38%	7	17.95%	5	12.82%	8
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	39	2.80	1.26	3	7.69%	9	23.08%	8	20.51%	8	20.51%	7	17.95%	4	10.26%	8
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	39	2.68	1.23	4	10.26%	4	10.26%	13	33.33%	8	20.51%	8	20.51%	2	5.13%	8
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	38	2.74	1.20	2	5.26%	5	13.16%	10	26.32%	4	10.53%	6	15.79%	11	28.95%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	38	3.33	1.45	10	26.32%	9	23.68%	7	18.42%	3	7.89%	7	18.42%	2	5.26%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	39	3.38	1.40	11	28.21%	8	20.51%	7	17.95%	6	15.38%	5	12.82%	2	5.13%	8
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	39	2.49	1.26	3	7.69%	6	15.38%	9	23.08%	10	25.64%	11	28.21%	0	0.00%	8
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	39	2.18	1.22	2	5.13%	4	10.26%	5	12.82%	10	25.64%	13	33.33%	5	12.82%	8
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	39	3.36	1.19	8	20.51%	11	28.21%	9	23.08%	9	23.08%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%	8

College of Health Sciences

Total number of respondents	32
Completed Surveys	26
Tenured/Tenure-Track	23
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	37
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	62.16%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	8
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	1

				Much mo	re than	More	than			Less	than	Much le	ss than			No
				Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfact	tory (1)	N,	/A	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	28	3.63	1.18	6	21.43%	4	14.29%	13	46.43%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%	4	14.29%	4
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	28	3.57	1.25	4	14.29%	8	28.57%	8	28.57%	3	10.71%	0	0.00%	5	17.86%	4
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	27	3.36	0.00	1	3.70%	2	7.41%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	16	59.26%	5
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	27	3.14	0.00	1	3.70%	3	11.11%	8	29.63%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%	13	48.15%	5
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	27	3.09	0.00	1	3.70%	2	7.41%	6	22.22%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%	16	59.26%	5
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	28	3.78	1.27	4	14.29%	7	25.00%	6	21.43%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%	10	35.71%	4
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	28	3.47	0.00	3	10.71%	3	10.71%	7	25.00%	2	7.14%	0	0.00%	13	46.43%	4
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	27	3.42	1.33	0	0.00%	5	18.52%	7	25.93%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15	55.56%	5
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	28	4.00	0.00	5	17.86%	7	25.00%	5	17.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	11	39.29%	4
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	28	3.19	1.52	2	7.14%	1	3.57%	11	39.29%	2	7.14%	0	0.00%	12	42.86%	4
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	28	3.79	0.00	3	10.71%	7	25.00%	2	7.14%	2	7.14%	0	0.00%	14	50.00%	4
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	28	3.69	0.00	3	10.71%	3	10.71%	7	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15	53.57%	4
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	28	3.67	1.33	4	14.29%	2	7.14%	9	32.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	13	46.43%	4
Dean (R. Runyan)	28	2.95	1.77	2	7.14%	7	25.00%	3	10.71%	4	14.29%	4	14.29%	8	28.57%	4
Associate Dean (R. Keathley)	28	3.77	1.31	8	28.57%	10	35.71%	5	17.86%	0	0.00%	3	10.71%	2	7.14%	4
Associate Dean (S. Reichelt)	28	3.80	1.34	4	14.29%	6	21.43%	4	14.29%	0	0.00%	1	3.57%	13	46.43%	4
Assistant Dean (J. Didier)	28	3.40	1.52	2	7.14%	6	21.43%	4	14.29%	2	7.14%	1	3.57%	13	46.43%	4

						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
сонѕ				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	1)	Disagi	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	27	2.64	1.66	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	9	33.33%	2	7.41%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	27	2.84	1.68	4	14.81%	7	25.93%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	8	29.63%	2	7.41%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	27	3.36	1.59	8	29.63%	6	22.22%	2	7.41%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	2	7.41%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	27	2.83	1.61	2	7.41%	8	29.63%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	5
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	27	2.85	1.48	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	8	29.63%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	5
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	27	2.96	1.51	6	22.22%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	9	33.33%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	5
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	27	3.50	1.63	6	22.22%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	2	7.41%	3	11.11%	7	25.93%	5
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	26	4.15	1.10	13	50.00%	8	30.77%	2	7.69%	2	7.69%	1	3.85%	0	0.00%	6
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																
adequate.	27	4.14	1.00	8	29.63%	9	33.33%	5	18.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	18.52%	5
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	27	4.17	1.06	11	40.74%	8	29.63%	3	11.11%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	3	11.11%	5
Library Services meets my needs.	27	4.16	1.01	11	40.74%	9	33.33%	3	11.11%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	2	7.41%	5
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	27	4.13	1.16	12	44.44%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	4	14.81%	5
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	27	3.52	1.44	5	18.52%	6	22.22%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	1	3.70%	6	22.22%	5
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	27	3.57	1.45	5	18.52%	7	25.93%	6	22.22%	1	3.70%	2	7.41%	6	22.22%	5
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	27	3.33	1.59	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	9	33.33%	5
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																
needs of the faculty.	27	2.96	1.65	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	5	18.52%	5 5	18.52%	3	11.11%	5
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	27	3.41	1.37	7	25.93%	8	29.63%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	0	0.00%	5
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	27	3.59	1.45	6	22.22%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	2	7.41%	2	7.41%	5	18.52%	5
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring																
Services.	27	3.61	1.26	4	14.81%	10	37.04%	6	22.22%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	4	14.81%	5

сонѕ						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
CORS					Agree (5)	. (4	4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)		/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	27	4.14	1.00	7	25.93%	12	44.44%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	(0.00%	5	18.52%	5
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	27	4.30	1.07	9	33.33%	10	37.04%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	3.70%	7	25.93%	5
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	27	3.57	1.34	3	11.11%	10	37.04%	5	18.52%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	6	22.22%	5
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	27	3.96	0.82	3	11.11%	17	62.96%	4	14.81%	0	0.00%		0.00%	3	11.11%	5
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	27	3.90	1.22	7	25.93%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	2	7.41%		0.00%	6	22.22%	5
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	27	3.61	1.57	5	18.52%	7	25.93%	3	11.11%	0	0.00%	. 3	11.11%	9	33.33%	5
There is adequate parking for faculty.	27	2.96	1.40	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	7	25.93%	6	22.22%		18.52%	0	0.00%	5
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	27	3.54	1.34	7	25.93%	8	29.63%	6	22.22%	2	7.41%	. 3	11.11%	1	3.70%	5
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	27	3.50	1.62	11	40.74%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	. 5	18.52%	1	3.70%	5
I feel physically safe on campus.	27	4.15	1.03	11	40.74%	11	40.74%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%	5
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	27	3.39	1.45	4	14.81%	9	33.33%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	. 2	7.41%	4	14.81%	5
My teaching load is fair.	27	3.85	1.08	9	33.33%	9	33.33%	6	22.22%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	5
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	27	2.92	1.45	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	6	22.22%	. 5	18.52%	1	3.70%	5
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	27	3.04	1.69	5	18.52%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	. 2	14.81%	4	14.81%	5
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	27	3.25	1.47	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	8	29.63%	5	18.52%	. 2	7.41%	3	11.11%	5
I receive adequate clerical support.	27	3.89	1.07	9	33.33%	10	37.04%	5	18.52%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	5
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	27	3.54	1.39	8	29.63%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%	. 3	11.11%	1	3.70%	5
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	27	3.06	1.65	1	3.70%	5	18.52%	7	25.93%	2	7.41%	. 2	7.41%	10	37.04%	5
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	27	3.28	1.63	2	7.41%	9	33.33%	1	3.70%	4	14.81%	. 2	7.41%	9	33.33%	5
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	27	3.42	1.56	4	14.81%	7	25.93%	2	7.41%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	8	29.63%	5

сонѕ						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COHS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagı	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	((1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	27	3.50	1.45	7	25.93%	9	33.33%	5	18.52%	0	0.00%	5	18.52%	5 1	3.70%	5 5
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	27	3.08	1.49	5	18.52%	6	22.22%	7	25.93%	2	7.41%	ϵ	22.22%	1	3.70%	5
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	27	3.10	1.71	3	11.11%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	5	18.52%	6	22.22%	5 5
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	27	2.86	1.83	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	ϵ	22.22%	6	22.22%	5 5
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	27	3.64	1.31	6	22.22%	10	37.04%	6	22.22%	0	0.00%	3	11.11%	2	7.41%	5 5
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	27	3.20	1.45	3	11.11%	11	40.74%	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	2	7.41%	5 5
The merit system is applied fairly.	27	3.05	1.67	2	7.41%	8	29.63%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	5
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	27	2.76	1.83	2	7.41%	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	7	25.93%	6	22.22%	5
The promotion system is applied fairly.	27	3.29	1.59	3	11.11%	9	33.33%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	5
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	27	3.14	1.64	3	11.11%	7	25.93%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	. В	11.11%	6	22.22%	5 5
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	27	2.80	1.85	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	5 7	25.93%	5 5
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	27	3.07	1.73	2	7.41%	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	0	0.00%	. В	11.11%	13	48.15%	5 5
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	27	3.95	1.39	11	40.74%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	1	3.70%	5 5	18.52%	5 5
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	27	4.00	1.34	9	33.33%	6	22.22%	2	7.41%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	5 7	25.93%	5 5
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	27	2.63	1.31	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	7	25.93%	6	22.22%	5 7	25.93%	s o	0.00%	5 5
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	27	2.68	1.61	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	6	22.22%	7	25.93%	2	7.41%	5 5
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	27	3.96	1.04	10	37.04%	9	33.33%	6	22.22%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%	5 0	0.00%	5 5

College of Humanities and Social Sciences

Total number of respondents	72
Completed Surveys	64
Tenured/Tenure-Track	62
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	123
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	50.41%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	7
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	3

				Much mo			than			Less		Much le				No
				Satisfacto	ory (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfact	tory (1)	N,	/A	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	67	3.03	1.14	7	10.61%	13	19.70%	21	31.82%	13	19.70%	6	9.09%	6	9.09%	E
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	66	3.63	1.05	17	25.37%	13	19.40%	21	31.34%	9	13.43%	0	0.00%	7	10.45%	Ē
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	66	3.32	0.89	3	4.55%	10	15.15%	12	18.18%	6	9.09%	0	0.00%	35	53.03%	F
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	66	3.07	1.03	3	4.55%	6	9.09%	13	19.70%	6	9.09%	2	3.03%	36	54.55%	F
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	66	3.37	0.84	2	3.03%	12	18.18%	11	16.67%	5	7.58%	0	0.00%	36	54.55%	E
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	66	3.59	1.01	7	10.61%	17	25.76%	12	18.18%	3	4.55%	2	3.03%	25	37.88%	E
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	66	3.43	0.97	5	7.58%	15	22.73%	13	19.70%	6	9.09%	1	1.52%	26	39.39%	E
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	66	3.62	1.07	10	15.15%	9	13.64%	13	19.70%	4	6.06%	1	1.52%	29	43.94%	E
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	66	3.40	0.98	5	7.58%	15	22.73%	16	24.24%	4	6.06%	2	3.03%	24	36.36%	f
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	64	3.30	1.31	12	18.18%	14	21.21%	13	19.70%	8	12.12%	7	10.61%	12	18.18%	f
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	66	3.69	0.88	7	10.94%	10	15.63%	13	20.31%	2	3.13%	0	0.00%	32	50.00%	8
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	66	3.22	1.06	5	7.58%	8	12.12%	15	22.73%	6	9.09%	2	3.03%	30	45.45%	E
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	66	3.38	1.08	5	7.58%	15	22.73%	12	18.18%	4	6.06%	3	4.55%	27	40.91%	E
Dean (A. Zink)	66	3.43	1.57	26	39.39%	9	13.64%	10	15.15%	7	10.61%	13	19.70%	1	1.52%	E
Associate Dean (C. Nardone)	66	3.72	1.30	20	30.30%	13	19.70%	13	19.70%	2	3.03%	6	9.09%	12	18.18%	E
Associate Dean (G. Sanford)	65	3.51	1.41	21	31.82%	14	21.21%	14	21.21%	4	6.06%	10	15.15%	3	4.55%	E
Interim Associate Dean (R. Bello)	65	3.78	1.17	16	24.62%	12	18.46%	10	15.38%	5	7.69%	2	3.08%	20	30.77%	7

					Somewh	at agree	Neither .	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
			Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N,	/A	Response
N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
65	2.80645	1.468362	8	12.31%	19	29.23%	7	10.77%	9	13.85%	19	29.23%	3	4.62%	7
65	2.60345	1.519297	9	13.85%	12	18.46%	5	7.69%	11	16.92%	21	32.31%	7	10.77%	7
65	3.73016	1.460662	28	43.08%	15	23.08%	3	4.62%	9	13.85%	8	12.31%	2	3.08%	7
64	2.40385	1.496915	8	12.50%	7	10.94%	4	6.25%	12	18.75%	21	32.81%	12	18.75%	8
65	2.73016	1.438764	8	12.31%	16	24.62%	9	13.85%	11	16.92%	19	29.23%	2	3.08%	7
65	3.01754	1.515895	13	20.00%	13	20.00%	7	10.77%	10	15.38%	14	21.54%	8	12.31%	7
65	3.2381	1.287477	9	13.85%	10	15.38%	9	13.85%	10	15.38%	4	6.15%	23	35.38%	7
65	3.71875	1.268226	20	30.77%	26	40.00%	3	4.62%	10	15.38%	5	7.69%	1	1.54%	7
	3.76596	1.095693	13	20.31%	19	29.69%	8	12.50%	5	7.81%	2	3.13%	17	26.56%	8
65	3.84314	1.177777	18	27.69%	19	29.23%	4	6.15%	8	12.31%	2	3.08%	14	21.54%	7
_							7		1			_			7
65	4.10169	1.020052	24	36.92%	24	36.92%	7	10.77%	1	1.54%	3	4.62%	6	9.23%	7
64	3.74468	1.138646	15	23.44%	15	23.44%	8	12.50%	8	12.50%	1	1.56%	17	26.56%	8
											_				
64	3.57377	1.108226	15	23.44%	19	29.69%	14	21.88%	12	18.75%	1	1.56%	3	4.69%	8
											_				
65	3.17857	1.255092	6	9.23%	24	36.92%	8	12.31%	10	15.38%	8	12.31%	9	13.85%	7
_	3.17037	1.233032		3.2370		30.3270		12.5170		13.3070		12.5170		13.0370	Í
	3 72131	1 269196	23	35 38%	15	23.08%	9	13 85%	11	16 92%	3	4 62%	4	6 15%	7
03	5., 2151	1.203130		33.3370	15	23.0370		15.5570		10.5270	†	1.0270		0.1370	'
65	3 14516	1 389439	14	21 54%	13	20.00%	12	20.00%	12	18 46%	10	15 38%	3	4 62%	7
05	3.14310	1.303433	14	21.5-7/0	15	20.0070		20.0070		10.40/0	10	15.5570		7.02/0	(
65	3 46030	1 170707	12	20 00%	10	15 32%	15	23 08%	۵	13 25%	2	3 08%	16	24 62%	7
03	3.70333	1.177777	13	20.00/0	10	13.30/0	10	23.00/0		13.03/0		3.00/0	10	27.02/0	/
65	3 538/16	1 058/15	۵	13 25%	10	15 32%	1.4	21 5/1%	5	7 60%	1	1 5/1%	26	40 00%	7
	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 6	65 2.80645 65 2.60345 65 3.73016 64 2.40385 65 2.73016 65 3.01754 65 3.2381 65 3.71875 64 3.76596 65 3.84314 65 4.17188 65 4.10169 64 3.74468 64 3.57377 65 3.17857 65 3.72131 65 3.46939	65 2.80645 1.468362 65 2.60345 1.519297 65 3.73016 1.460662 64 2.40385 1.496915 65 2.73016 1.438764 65 3.01754 1.515895 65 3.2381 1.287477 65 3.71875 1.268226 64 3.76596 1.095693 65 3.84314 1.177777 65 4.17188 1.00863 65 4.10169 1.020052 64 3.74468 1.138646 64 3.57377 1.108226 65 3.17857 1.255092	N Mean Std. Dev. N 65 2.80645 1.468362 8 65 2.60345 1.519297 9 65 3.73016 1.460662 28 64 2.40385 1.496915 8 65 2.73016 1.438764 8 65 3.01754 1.515895 13 65 3.71875 1.268226 20 64 3.76596 1.095693 13 65 3.84314 1.177777 18 65 4.17188 1.00863 29 65 4.10169 1.020052 24 64 3.74468 1.138646 15 64 3.57377 1.108226 15 65 3.72131 1.269196 23 65 3.14516 1.389439 14 65 3.46939 1.179797 13	65 2.80645 1.468362 8 12.31% 65 2.60345 1.519297 9 13.85% 65 3.73016 1.460662 28 43.08% 64 2.40385 1.496915 8 12.50% 65 2.73016 1.438764 8 12.31% 65 3.01754 1.515895 13 20.00% 65 3.71875 1.268226 20 30.77% 64 3.76596 1.095693 13 20.31% 65 3.84314 1.177777 18 27.69% 65 4.17188 1.00863 29 44.62% 65 4.10169 1.020052 24 36.92% 64 3.74468 1.138646 15 23.44% 65 3.17857 1.255092 6 9.23% 65 3.72131 1.269196 23 35.38% 65 3.46939 1.179797 13 20.00%	N Mean Std. Dev. N % N	N Mean Std. Dev. N % N %	N	N Mean Std. Dev. N % N N	N Mean Std. Dev. N % N M M M M M M M M M	N Mean Std. Dev. N % N M	Strongly Agree (5)	N	N	N Mean Std. Dev. N % N M Male N N N Male N N N Male N N N Male N N N Male N N N N N N N N N

cours						Somewh	at agree	Neither A	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COHSS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disagı	ree (2)	((1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																•
adequate.	64	3.26923	0.901183	2	3.13%	22	34.38%	18	28.13%	8	12.50%	2	3.13%	12	18.75%	8
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	64	2.96	1.130664	3	4.69%	15	23.44%	16	25.00%	9	14.06%	7	10.94%	14	21.88%	8
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	65	3.16364	1.140393	6	9.23%	18	27.69%	15	23.08%	11	16.92%	5	7.69%	10	15.38%	_ 7
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	65	3.35714	1.40698	14	21.54%	18	27.69%	7	10.77%	8	12.31%	9	13.85%	9	13.85%	_ 7
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	65	4.15385	0.975034	18	27.69%	12	18.46%	7	10.77%	1	1.54%	1	1.54%	26	40.00%	_ 7
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	65	3.93548	0.94819	9	13.85%	14	21.54%	6	9.23%	1	1.54%	1	1.54%	34	52.31%	_ 7
There is adequate parking for faculty.	65	3.01639	1.454127	10	15.38%	20	30.77%	7	10.77%	9	13.85%	15	23.08%	4	6.15%	_ 7
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	65	3.8254	1.215504	23	35.38%	21	32.31%	8	12.31%	7	10.77%	4	6.15%	2	3.08%	_ 7
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	65	3.35938	1.534674	20	30.77%	17	26.15%	7	10.77%	6	9.23%	14	21.54%	1	1.54%	_ 7
l feel physically safe on campus.	65	4.14063	1.087819	32	49.23%	17	26.15%	10	15.38%	2	3.08%	3	4.62%	1	1.54%	_ 7
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	63	3.59016	1.19256	15	23.81%	23	36.51%	10	15.87%	9	14.29%	4	6.35%	2	3.17%	_ 9
My teaching load is fair.	63	3.79365	1.143078	19	30.16%	25	39.68%	10	15.87%	5	7.94%	4	6.35%	0	0.00%	_ 9
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	63	3.22222	1.35042	14	22.22%	16	25.40%	11	17.46%	14	22.22%	8	12.70%	0	0.00%	_ 9
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	63	3.08197	1.205113	6	9.52%	21	33.33%	14	22.22%	12	19.05%	8	12.70%	2	3.17%	_ 9
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	63	3	1.447494	12	19.05%	16	25.40%	9	14.29%	12	19.05%	14	22.22%	0	0.00%	_ 9
l receive adequate clerical support.	63	3.51667	1.335311	17	26.98%	20	31.75%	6	9.52%	11	17.46%	6	9.52%	3	4.76%	_ 9
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	63	3.6129	1.463037	26	41.27%	10	15.87%	11	17.46%	6	9.52%	9	14.29%	1	1.59%	_ 9
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	63	3.02222	1.421875	9	14.29%	9	14.29%	11	17.46%	6	9.52%	10	15.87%	18	28.57%	_ 9
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	62	3.88462	1.120677	19	30.65%	16	25.81%	12	19.35%	2	3.23%	3	4.84%	10	16.13%	10
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	62	3.83333	1.160699	17	27.42%	15	24.19%	10	16.13%	3	4.84%	3	4.84%	14	22.58%	10

COHSS						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
CORSS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagı	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	63	2.63492	1.212391	2	3.17%	18	28.57%	13	20.63%	15	23.81%	15	23.81%	0	0.00%	_ 9
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	63	2.71429	1.252662	3	4.76%	20	31.75%	10	15.87%	16	25.40%	14	22.22%	0	0.00%	_ 9
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	63	2.52174	1.246545	2	3.17%	11	17.46%	9	14.29%	11	17.46%	13	20.63%	17	26.98%	9
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	63	2.45455	1.176562	1	1.59%	10	15.87%	9	14.29%	12	19.05%	12	19.05%	19	30.16%	9
																-
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	62	3.57377	1.464991	22	35.48%	17	27.42%	6	9.68%	6	9.68%	10	16.13%	1	1.61%	10
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																-
performance as a faculty member.	63	3.01613	1.276234	7	11.11%	22	34.92%	6	9.52%	19	30.16%	8	12.70%	1	1.59%	9
The merit system is applied fairly.	63	2.77586	1.339623	6	9.52%	15	23.81%	11	17.46%	12	19.05%	14	22.22%	5	7.94%	9
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	63	2.27451	1.253676	2	3.17%	9	14.29%	10	15.87%	10	15.87%	20	31.75%	12	19.05%	9
The promotion system is applied fairly.	63	3.05882	1.433654	10	15.87%	13	20.63%	9	14.29%	8	12.70%	11	17.46%	12	19.05%	9
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																-
department.	62	3.35714	1.419615	15	24.19%	16	25.81%	8	12.90%	8	12.90%	9	14.52%	6	9.68%	10
The tenure system process at the university level																-
is clear.	63	2.83871	1.33433	8	12.70%	14	22.22%	13	20.63%	14	22.22%	13	20.63%	1	1.59%	9
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																-
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	63	3.61538	1.370296	14	22.22%	9	14.29%	8	12.70%	3	4.76%	5	7.94%	24	38.10%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category	63	3.37705	1.439079	16	25.40%	19	30.16%	10	15.87%	4	6.35%	12	19.05%	2	3.17%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																-
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	63	3.3	1.452584	15	23.81%	18	28.57%	9	14.29%	6	9.52%	12	19.05%	3	4.76%	9
																-
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	62	2.48387	1.352917	6	9.68%	12	19.35%	7	11.29%	18	29.03%	19	30.65%	0	0.00%	10
,	<u> </u>												1 1 1 1 1 1			-
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	63	2.27869	1.256213	4	6.35%	10	15.87%	5	7.94%	22	34.92%	20	31.75%	2	3.17%	9
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	63	3.51613	1.32886	18		19	30.16%	8		11	17.46%		_	_		-

College of Science and Technology

Total number of respondents	53
Completed Surveys	47
Tenured/Tenure-Track	49
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	111
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	44.14%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	1
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	3

				Much me	ore than	More	than			Less	than	Much le	ess than			No
				Satisfac	tory (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N/	Ά	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	46	2.81	1.26	4	8.70%	9	19.57%	15	32.61%	5	10.87%	10	21.74%	3	6.52%	7
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	46	3.07	1.28	8	17.39%	7	15.22%	12	26.09%	10	21.74%	5	10.87%	4	8.70%	7
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	44	3.52	0.81	5	11.36%	6	13.64%	17	38.64%	1	2.27%	0	0.00%	15	34.09%	9
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	44	2.71	1.23	3	6.82%	6	13.64%	12	27.27%	6	13.64%	8	18.18%	9	20.45%	9
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	44	3.32	1.06	6	13.64%	4	9.09%	17	38.64%	2	4.55%	2	4.55%	13	29.55%	9
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	45	3.70	1.01	10	22.22%	10	22.22%	14	31.11%	2	4.44%	1	2.22%	8	17.78%	8
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	45	3.07	1.30	8	17.78%	6	13.33%	16	35.56%	5	11.11%	7	15.56%	3	6.67%	8
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	44	3.54	1.02	7	15.91%	5	11.36%	12	27.27%	4	9.09%	0	0.00%	16	36.36%	9
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	45	3.53	1.12	10	22.22%	8	17.78%	13	28.89%	6	13.33%	1	2.22%	7	15.56%	8
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	45	3.31	1.45	10	22.22%	8	17.78%	8	17.78%	3	6.67%	7	15.56%	9	20.00%	8
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	44	3.72	0.94	8	18.18%	9	20.45%	14	31.82%	0	0.00%	1	2.27%	12	27.27%	9
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	43	3.21	1.00	5	11.63%	2	4.65%	17	39.53%	4	9.30%	1	2.33%	14	32.56%	10
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	43	3.45	1.10	8	18.60%	5	11.63%	16	37.21%	2	4.65%	2	4.65%	10	23.26%	10
Dean (J. Pascrella)	47	3.77	1.10	15	31.91%	14	29.79%	11	23.40%	6	12.77%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%	6
Associate Dean (A. Gaillard)	46	3.90	1.02	15	32.61%	12	26.09%	12	26.09%	2	4.35%	1	2.17%	4	8.70%	7
Associate Dean (M. Gillespie)	47	4.09	1.07	21	44.68%	9	19.15%	11	23.40%	0	0.00%	2	4.26%	4	8.51%	6

COSET						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COSET				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	((1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	47	3.34	1.21	8	17.02%	17	36.17%	9	19.15%	9	19.15%	4	8.51%	0	0.00%	6
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	47	2.66	1.38	4	8.51%	12	25.53%	6	12.77%	9	19.15%	13	27.66%	3	6.38%	6
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	47	4.49	0.99	34	72.34%	7	14.89%	2	4.26%	3	6.38%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%	6
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	47	3.07	1.22	4	8.51%	15	31.91%	9	19.15%	8	17.02%	$ $ ϵ	12.77%	5	10.64%	6
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	47	2.85	1.43	6	12.77%	14	29.79%	7	14.89%	7	14.89%	13	27.66%	0	0.00%	6
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	46	2.98	1.37	8	17.39%	8	17.39%	10	21.74%	9	19.57%	8	17.39%	3	6.52%	7
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	45	3.15	1.30	8	17.78%	8	17.78%	13	28.89%	6	13.33%	6	13.33%	4	8.89%	8
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	46	3.17	1.31	9	19.57%	11	23.91%	11	23.91%	9	19.57%	$ $ ϵ	13.04%	0	0.00%	7
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are	و															
adequate.	44	3.42	1.21	7	15.91%	10	22.73%	9	20.45%	4	9.09%	3	6.82%	11	25.00%	9
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	44	3.69	1.17	10	22.73%	13	29.55%	8	18.18%	2	4.55%	3	6.82%	8	18.18%	9
Library Services meets my needs.	46	3.63	1.12	9	19.57%	18	39.13%	6	13.04%	6	13.04%	2	4.35%	5	10.87%	7
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	46	3.68	0.98	8	17.39%	17	36.96%	10	21.74%	4	8.70%	1	2.17%	6	13.04%	7
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	46	3.77	1.16	13	28.26%	17	36.96%	5	10.87%	6	13.04%	2	4.35%	3	6.52%	7
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	46	3.33	1.21	6	13.04%	20	43.48%	7	15.22%	7	15.22%	5	10.87%	1	2.17%	7
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	46	2.45	1.28	1	2.17%	13	28.26%	3	6.52%	12	26.09%	13	28.26%	4	8.70%	7
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the	9															
needs of the faculty.	46	3.11	1.37	7	15.22%	15	32.61%	6	13.04%	8	17.39%	8	17.39%	2	4.35%	7
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	45	2.68	1.22	2	4.44%	13	28.89%	7	15.56%	13	28.89%	9	20.00%	1	2.22%	8
, <i>,</i>				_			2.2276						111170	_		
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	45	3.13	1.40	7	15.56%	12	26.67%	7	15.56%	5	11.11%	۶ ا	17.78%	6	13.33%	8
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring	.5	5.25	210	,				,								
Services.	44	3.36	1.27	6	13.64%	12	27.27%	8	18.18%	2	4.55%	5	11.36%	11	25.00%	9

COSET						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
	T	1	1		Agree (5)		4)	Disagr			ree (2)		(1)		/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	45	3.45	1.07	7	15.56%	15	33.33%	12	26.67%	6	13.33%	2	4.44%	3	6.67%	8
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	44	2.86	1.22	1	2.27%	13	29.55%	9	20.45%	4	9.09%	8	18.18%	9	20.45%	9
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	45	2.93	1.33	5	11.11%	12	26.67%	9	20.00%	7	15.56%	9	20.00%	3	6.67%	8
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	45	3.39	1.21	7	15.56%	15	33.33%	11	24.44%	3	6.67%	5	11.11%	4	8.89%	8
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	44	3.90	0.89	6	13.64%	7	15.91%	6	13.64%	1	2.27%	o c	0.00%	24	54.55%	9
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	45	3.38	0.99	2	4.44%	5	11.11%	7	15.56%	1	2.22%	1	2.22%	29	64.44%	8
There is adequate parking for faculty.	46	3.51	1.30	12	26.09%	13	28.26%	7	15.22%	7	15.22%	4	8.70%	3	6.52%	7
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	46	3.50	1.21	10	21.74%	17	36.96%	9	19.57%	6	13.04%	4	8.70%	0	0.00%	7
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	46	3.87	1.33	21	45.65%	9	19.57%	7	15.22%	4	8.70%	4	8.70%	1	2.17%	7
I feel physically safe on campus.	46	4.09	1.02	20	43.48%	15	32.61%	7	15.22%	3	6.52%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%	7
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	46	3.84	1.20	15	32.61%	16	34.78%	5	10.87%	4	8.70%	3	6.52%	3	6.52%	7
My teaching load is fair.	46	4.15	0.98	20	43.48%	18	39.13%	4	8.70%	3	6.52%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%	7
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	46	3.39	1.07	8	17.39%	14	30.43%	13	28.26%	10	21.74%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%	7
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	46	3.39	1.09	6	13.04%	17	36.96%	12	26.09%	6	13.04%	3	6.52%	2	4.35%	7
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	46	3.04	1.25	6	13.04%	12	26.09%	13	28.26%	8	17.39%	7	15.22%	0	0.00%	7
I receive adequate clerical support.	46	3.33	1.41	13	28.26%	10	21.74%	7	15.22%	9	19.57%	6	13.04%	1	2.17%	7
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	46	4.11	1.07	21	45.65%	15	32.61%	6	13.04%	2	4.35%	. 2	4.35%	0	0.00%	7
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	46	3.00	1.29	5	10.87%	9	19.57%	11	23.91%	5	10.87%	7	15.22%	9	19.57%	7
, .0-		2.20			70		/ 0		70		/ .	<u> </u>			- 12 1 /4	<u> </u>
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	46	3.59	1.40	15	32.61%	8	17.39%	10	21.74%	2	4.35%	. 6	13.04%	5	10.87%	7
. 2000, 1000,	10	5.55	2.10	13	32.01/0		27.0370	10			5570		23.3 170		20.0770	<u> </u>
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	46	3.58	1.36	14	30.43%	R	17.39%	10	21.74%	3	6.52%	, 5	10.87%	6	13.04%	7

COSET						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	y Disagree			No
COSET				Strongly A	Agree (5)	(-	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	46	2.59	1.36	4	8.70%	10	21.74%	10	21.74%	7	15.22%	15	32.61%	0	0.00%	7
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	46	2.44	1.33	4	8.70%	7	15.22%	9	19.57%	10	21.74%	15	32.61%	1	2.17%	7
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	45	2.45	1.35	3	6.67%	3	6.67%	9	20.00%	3	6.67%	1:	1 24.44%	16	35.56%	8
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	45	2.43	1.40	3	6.67%	4	8.89%	6	13.33%	4	8.89%	1:	1 24.44%	17	37.78%	8
The merit system is applied fairly.	46	3.27	1.16	7	15.22%	13	28.26%	14	30.43%	7	15.22%	, 4	8.70%	1	2.17%	7
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	46	2.45	1.40	3	6.52%	9	19.57%	7	15.22%	5	10.87%	10	34.78%	6	13.04%	7
The promotion system is applied fairly.	46	3.75	1.11	12	26.09%	17	36.96%	10	21.74%	2	4.35%		6.52%	2	4.35%	7
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	46	4.13	1.13	23	50.00%	12	26.09%	5	10.87%	3	6.52%		4.35%	1	2.17%	7
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	46	3.80	0.99	12	26.09%	19	41.30%	10	21.74%	4	8.70%		2.17%	0	0.00%	7
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	46	3.73	1.30	15	32.61%	10	21.74%	8	17.39%	3	6.52%	. 4	4 8.70%	6	13.04%	7
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	45	3.78	1.26	16	35.56%	15	33.33%	6	13.33%	4	8.89%	. 4	4 8.89%	0	0.00%	8
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	46	3.67	1.30	14	30.43%	16	34.78%	6	13.04%	4	8.70%	, !	5 10.87%	1	2.17%	7
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	46	2.74	1.29	3	6.52%	14	30.43%	8	17.39%	10	21.74%	1:	1 23.91%	0	0.00%	7
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	46	2.52	1.16	1	2.17%	10	21.74%	11	23.91%	11	23.91%	1:	1 23.91%	2	4.35%	7
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	46	4.00	1.02	17	36.96%	18	39.13%	6	13.04%	4	8.70%		2.17%	0	0.00%	7

Newton Gresham Library

Total number of respondents	14
Completed Surveys	12
Tenured/Tenure-Track	13
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	20
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	70.00%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	0
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	2
, , ,	

				Much mo			than			Less		Much le			_	No
				Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N/	'A	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	12	3.27	0.86	0	0.00%	5	41.67%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	3
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	12	3.55	0.66	1	8.33%	4	33.33%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	3
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	12	3.00	0.82	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	9	75.00%	3
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	12	2.60	1.02	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	7	58.33%	3
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	12	3.50	0.50	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10	83.33%	3
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	12	3.50	1.12	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	8	66.67%	3
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	12	2.80	1.25	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	3
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	12	3.33	0.47	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	75.00%	3
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	11	3.50	0.50	0	0.00%	1	9.09%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	81.82%	4
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	12	3.67	0.47	0	0.00%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	50.00%	3
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	12	3.50	0.50	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10	83.33%	3
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	12	3.00	0.82	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	9	75.00%	3
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	12	2.83	1.07	0	0.00%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	6	50.00%	3
Interim Director (L. Shen)	12	3.75	1.01	3	25.00%	5	41.67%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3

NGI						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
NGL				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	((1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	12	3.42	1.19	2	16.67%	5	41.67%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Administrators.	12	3.50	1.38	3	25.00%	5	41.67%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	. 2	16.67%	0	0.00%	3
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
selection of Faculty.	12	4.58	0.49	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	S C	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
I have an opportunity to participate in the																
Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	12	3.45	1.16	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%	3	25.00%	(0.00%	1	8.33%	3
Administration effectively communicates with the																
faculty.	12	3.25	1.23	2	16.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%	. 1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
Administration consistently follows official																
policies.	12	4.17	0.80	4	33.33%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%		0.00%	0	0.00%	3
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	12	3.42	0.95	1	8.33%	6	50.00%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%	3
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	12	3.25	1.23	2	16.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%	. 1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are	2															
adequate.	12	3.13	0.93	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%		0.00%	4	33.33%	3
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	12	3.25	0.97	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%		0.00%	4	33.33%	3
Library Services meets my needs.	12	4.58	0.76	9	75.00%	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%	3
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	12	4.33	1.05	6	50.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%		0.00%	3	25.00%	3
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	12	3.13	1.05	0	0.00%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	. 1	8.33%	4	33.33%	3
The resources available for my research are																
adequate.	11	4.64	0.48	7	63.64%	4	36.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%	4
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	11	4.80	0.40	4	36.36%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%	6	54.55%	4
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the	2															
needs of the faculty.	11	4.70	0.46	7	63.64%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%	1	9.09%	4
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	12	3.14	0.83	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%		0.00%	5	41.67%	3
	<u> </u>						1			_	1		1			
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	12	3.60	0.49	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%		0.00%	7	58.33%	3
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring	 						, , .						1 277			
Services.	12	3.60	0.49	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%		0.00%	7	58.33%	3

NCI						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
NGL				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	12	3.40	1.02	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	2	16.67%	3
The services available through the campus																
bookstore are adequate.	12	3.25	0.66	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	4	33.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	4	33.33%	3
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	12	3.08	1.44	2	16.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%	3
The Human Resource Department offers me																
adequate services.	12	3.08	0.95	0	0.00%	5	41.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are																
adequate.	12	3.60	0.49	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	58.33%	3
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	12	3.25	1.09	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	8	66.67%	3
There is adequate parking for faculty.	12	3.00	1.29	1	8.33%	5	41.67%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	3
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	12	3.83	1.28	5	41.67%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	12	3.58	1.38	5	41.67%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
I feel physically safe on campus.	12	4.42	0.86	7	58.33%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly																
in my College.	12	3.00	0.00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	10	83.33%	3
My teaching load is fair.	12	4.00	1.00	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8	66.67%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	12	3.60	0.80	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	58.33%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	12	3.33	1.05	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my service to																
the university.	12	3.27	1.14	1	8.33%	5	41.67%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	3
I receive adequate clerical support.	12	4.00	1.12	4	33.33%	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	4	33.33%	3
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	12	4.08	0.95	5	41.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in																
my college.	12	3.00	0.82	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	9	75.00%	3
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a																
Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	12	3.25	0.43	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8	66.67%	3
													Ì			
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
Enhancement Grant for Research.	12	3.00	0.00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	75.00%	3

NGI	NGL					Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	y Disagree			No
NGL				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagi	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	12	2.00	1.00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	1	1 8.33%	10	83.33%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	12	2.00	1.00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		1 8.33%	10	83.33%	6 3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is administered																
effectively.	12	2.00	1.00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		1 8.33%	10	83.33%	3
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-																
line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	12	2.00	1.00	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		1 8.33%	10	83.33%	3
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	12	3.50	0.87	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%	(0.00%	8	66.67%	3
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	12	3.17	1.14	1	8.33%	5	41.67%	2	16.67%	3	25.00%	:	8.33%	0	0.00%	3
The merit system is applied fairly.	12	3.00	1.10	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	:	1 8.33%	2	16.67%	3
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	12	2.57	1.40	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	:	2 16.67%	5	41.67%	3
The promotion system is applied fairly.	12	3.71	0.88	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%	. (0.00%	5	41.67%	3
The tenure system is applied fairly in my																
department.	12	3.78	1.23	3	25.00%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%	:	8.33%	3	25.00%	3
The tenure system process at the university level																
is clear.	12	3.50	1.19	3	25.00%	3	25.00%	4	33.33%	1	8.33%		8.33%	0	0.00%	3
The performance evaluation (post tenure review)																
of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my																
department.	12	3.75	1.09	3	25.00%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	1	8.33%		0.00%	4	33.33%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Tenure and Promotion.	12	3.42	1.55	4	33.33%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%		3 25.00%	0	0.00%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category																
for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	12	3.70	1.35	4	33.33%	2	16.67%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%		8.33%	2	16.67%	3
My salary is appropriate relative to my																
contribution to Sam Houston State University.	12	2.36	1.30	1	8.33%	1	8.33%	3	25.00%	2	16.67%		33.33%	1	8.33%	3
	12		2.50		2.2370		2.2370		25.5576		23.3770		. 55.5576		0.007	
My salary is appropriate relative to my current																
rank when compared to similar universities.	12	2.45	1.37	1	8.33%	2	16.67%	,	16.67%	2	16.67%		33.33%	1	8.33%	۱ ء
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	12									3			0.00%	0		

Ranked Comparison Across Colleges/Library									
named companson Across coneges, Listary	Total	СОВА	COCI	COE	COFAMC	COHS	COHSS	COSET	NGL
Questions	Mean	Mean	Mean		Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
I feel physically safe on campus.	4.25	4.31	4.42			4.15	4.14	_	9 4.42
The library meets the needs of my department's curriculum.	4.21		4.24						
Library Services meets my needs.	4.21	L 4.26	4.35	4.53	4.27	4.16	4.17	3.63	3 4.58
My teaching load is fair.	4.05	3.85	4.68	4.41	3.87	3.85	3.79	4.15	5 4.00
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	4.01	1 3.82	4.14	4.09	4.07	3.90	4.15	3.90	3.60
There is adequate support for developing online courses/degrees/programs.	4.00		4.12		-				
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection of Faculty.	3.95		4.28						
The services that DELTA (Distance Education & Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are adequate.	3.90		4.13	4.23	-				
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in my College.	3.80	3.82	4.44	4.08	3.62	3.39	3.59	3.84	3.00
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	3.79		4.42	4.04					
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	3.76		4.32		-				
Assoc. VPAA (M. Robbins)	3.76		3.90						
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	3.74		3.86						
There is collegial support within my department/program.	3.74		4.11						
VP Student Services (F. Parker)	3.73		3.86						
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving a Faculty Research Fund. (\$5,000 or less).	3.71		3.73	3.97					
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an Enhancement Grant for Research.	3.70		4.00						
I receive adequate support from the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs.	3.69		3.73	3.91					
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	3.64		4.22		_				
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	3.63		3.36						
The resources available for my research are adequate.	3.62								
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	3.61		3.22						
I receive adequate clerical support.	3.61		4.28	3.48					
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	3.60		4.31	3.57					
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate services.	3.59		4.11	3.89	-				
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Tenure and Promotion.	3.59		4.38						
My physical work environment (office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	3.57		3.61	3.75					
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are adequate.	3.56								
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	3.54		3.58						
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the workplace.	3.54		4.00						
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	3.53		3.87	3.62					
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the needs of the faculty.	3.53		3.72						
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	3.51		3.88	3.58					
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	3.49		4.13	3.86					
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	3.43		4.13	3.59					
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	3.43								
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	3.42		4.00						
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	3.42		3.36						
The University Faculty Senate is effective in representing faculty views to the administration.	3.37		3.85						
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	3.36			3.33					
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	3.35		3.42						
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	3.35		3.42	3.53					
The services available through the campus bookstore are adequate.	3.32		4.07	3.61					
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	3.31			3.48					
The promotion system is applied fairly.	3.31		4.11		-				
The tenure system process at the university level is clear.	3.27		4.31	4.00	_				
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	3.27								

	Total	СОВА	COCI	COE	COFAMC	COHS	COHSS	COSET	NGL
Questions	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	3.25	3.56	3.00	3.70	2.80	3.57	3.16	2.93	3.08
Administration consistently follows official policies.	3.23	3.39	4.00	3.48	2.81	2.96	3.02	2.98	4.17
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the university.	3.19	3.13	3.94	3.46	3.00	3.25	3.00	3.04	3.27
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my college.	3.17	3.29	4.09	3.32	3.05	3.06	3.02	3.00	3.00
University President (D. Hoyt)	3.16	3.23	3.67	3.13	3.12	3.63	3.03	2.81	3.27
The FES is an adequate measurement of my performance as a faculty member.	3.16	2.74	3.72	3.15	3.00	3.20	3.02	3.56	3.17
The resources available to provide a successful graduate program are adequate.	3.15	3.10	3.94	3.54	2.45	3.33	3.18	2.45	4.80
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	3.12	3.39	3.42	3.52	2.93	3.14	3.07	2.71	2.60
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting quality students.	3.10	2.82	3.71	3.65	2.47	3.41	3.15	2.68	3.14
l have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	3.08	3.38	3.29	3.78	2.67	2.83	2.40	3.07	3.45
Administration effectively communicates with the faculty.	2.96	2.93	3.60	3.35	2.58	2.85	2.73	2.85	3.25
The merit system is applied fairly.	2.94	3.00	3.38	2.77	2.64	3.05	2.78	3.27	3.00
There is adequate parking for faculty.	2.84	2.65	3.28	2.10	2.68	2.96	3.02	3.51	3.00
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	2.82	2.78	2.65	3.24	2.49	3.50	2.63	2.59	2.00
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	2.74	2.63	2.88	3.16	2.48	3.10	2.52	2.45	2.00
I have an opportunity to participate in my departmental/program's budget decisions.	2.71	2.13	2.82	2.57	2.42	2.64	2.81	3.34	3.42
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my teaching effectiveness is accurate.	2.61	2.43	2.67	2.81	2.23	3.08	2.71	2.44	2.00
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution to Sam Houston State University.	2.57	2.56	3.47	2.25	2.49	2.63	2.48	2.74	2.36
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	2.55	2.19	2.75	2.83	2.27	2.86	2.45	2.43	2.00
l have an opportunity to participate in the selection of Administrators.	2.53	1.90	2.82	2.66	2.06	2.84	2.60	2.66	3.50
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank when compared to similar universities.	2.35	2.10	3.19	2.14	2.18	2.68	2.28	2.52	2.45
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	2.32	2.23	2.62	2.07	2.19	2.76	2.27	2.45	2.57

The control of the co	Historical Comparisons													$\overline{}$								$\overline{}$
Processor Market No. 15 25 15 35 35 40 405		17-18	16-17	15-16	14-15	13-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	04-05	03-04	02-03	01-02	00-01	99-00	98-99	97-98
Tree Association Plant 1.50					_			3 54														
Segret Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary Mary						-																
From Professor P	VPEM			_												2.5	2.77	2.50	2	2.50		5.1
97 Advancement 336 312 312 312 312 313 313 312 313 313 313 313 313 314 3																2 89	2 62	2 38	2.42	2 64	2 56	3
Ages 17 15 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18																				2.04	2.30	
wester year. 3,36 3,37 3,38 3,38 3,39 3,30																				2 55	2.6	2.8
Sessioner WAA 376 369 38 379 379 389 385 35 378 379 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 380 380								3.77	3.01	3.03	3.00	3.04	3.0	3.07	2.54	1.55	2.00	1.52	2.10	2.55	2.0	2.0
Seare of Lander Annyam (1.65) 1								2.5	2 79	2 70	2 62	2.69	2.69	3 60	2 55	2 5/1	2 72	2 70	2 /12	2.46		
Search Carlo Septime Annowals 3,4 3,67 3,9 3,51 3,8 3,7 3,7 3,7 3,8 3,7 3,8 3,9 3,				3.0	3.73	3.70	3.00	3.3	3.70	3.70	3.03	3.00	3.00	3.03	3.33	3.34	3.73	3.70	3.43	3.40		
Stace VP Re. & 2P				2.0	2 5 1	2.40	2 24	2 57	2 51	2 72	2.0	2.7	2 50	2 67	2.6	2 20						
Seesey Welt 3,56 3,27 3,28 3,29 3,29 3,10 3,																		2 71	2.04	2 16		
Seace of Defit Ling 136 317 318 318 318 319 319 319 319 319					3.04	3.71	3.76	3.72	3.79	3.77	3.71	3.00	3.10	2.3	2.33	2.2	2.41	2./1	3.04	3.10		
Tree Mean Controllary Directors 1,76 2,76 3,78 3,89 3,99 3,89 3,99 3,18 3,19 3,1					2 5 6	2 54	2.42	2 05	2 07	2 26												
The Associate Dear 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.34									2 00	2 90	4 21	4.07	2 62	2 /2	2 26	2 17	2.42	2 71	2.5	2.5
Town Assertice Dears 2 Indeed, Exception Participation in Dept. Indeed, Exception Participation Participation in Dept. Indeed, Exception Participation Pa				3.74	3.03	3.32	3.37	3.00	3.02	3.90	3.00	3.09	4.21	4.07	3.02	3.43	3.20	3.17	3.43	3.71	3.3	3.3
Treatment from A month of Park Park Park Park Park Park Park Park																						
Judge Contion Proficipation in Policy																						
selection of Affanine,		2.74			2.02	2.02	2.4	2.00	2.04	2.40	2.24	2.00	2.42	2.40	2.24	2.20	246	2.24	2.47	2.25	240	2.2
Selection of Scoley																						
International follows policy and process of the control of the con																						
Strategic Hamming in College 308 301 30 30 29 297 331 335 308 327 328 328 326 34 331 427 229 24 246 231 239 329 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	-					4.2	4.25	4.18	4.19	4.21	4.23	4.14	4.33	4.5	3.48	3.34	3.2	3.4	3.45	3.44	3.4	3.5
Communication with Admin. 2.96 2.38 3.39 3.56 3.50 3.48 3.39 3.50 3.48 3.39 3.50 3.48 3.39 3.50 3.48 3.39 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.50 3.75 3.75 3.75 3.75 3.75 3.75 3.75 3.75						2.0-	2.21	2.05	3.00	2.27	2.22	2.22	2.1	2.21	3.4-	2.22	٠.	3.00	3.34	2.22		\vdash
Fixed professor					_				_								2.4	2.46	2.31	2.39	-	
TRESAM Computer Services 3.76 3.75 3.51 3.99 3.28 3.4 3.11 3.09 2.69 3.88 3.66 3.69 3.73 3.78 3.74 3.53 3.99 3.24																	2.5-	25-	2.0		25-	
DELTA Services Adequate 30	-																					
Adequate support for online courses 4.00 3.97 3.79 3.96 3.57 3.38 3.26 3.106 3.03 2.22 2.75 2.9 2.81											3.09	2.63	3.58	3.65	3.63	3.73	3.78	3.74	3.53	3.39	3.24	3.2
Library poerfore For Early Library Poerfore For	·					-																
Library good for Dept. Curriculum													-		4.05	2.00	2.00	2.74	2.55		2.53	
Support from Contracts and Granty Adequate support from the Office of Research and Sporoused Programs (a) 3.7 3.7 3.65 3.55 3.8 3.58 3.57 3.54 3.53 3.17 2.89 3.02 3.03 2.56 2.61 2.77 3.3 3.19 3.13 3.15 3.09 3.05 3.09 2.97 2.95 2.85 2.92 2.96 2.74 2.72 2.76 2.76 2.78 2.3 2.14 1.96 2.12																						
Fleesorth the Confidence of Research and Sportsonser Programs 8. 37	Library good for Dept. Curriculum	4.21	4.3	4.14	4.14	4.15	4.09	4.15	4.04	4.18	3.02	3.95	3.9	4.06	3.93	3.88	3.8	3.73	3.5	3.66	3.41	3.4
Graduate Program Resources 3.15 3.09 3.05 3.09 2.97 2.95 2.85 2.92 2.96 2.74 2.72 2.74 2.73 2.54 2.58 2.32 2.14 1.96 2.17 1.96 2.17 2.17 2.17 2.18 3.09 3	Grants/adequate support from the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs																					
Travel Allocation 3.53 3.56 3.56 3.45 3.44 3.29 3.19 3.07 3.06 3.08 2.05 2.5 2.25 2.04 2.12 1.78 1.89 3.02 3.28																						
Recruiting Quality Students 3.10 3.09 2.8 3 2.94 3.07 3.02 3.08 3.16 3.14 3.07 3.08 3.46 3.17 3.09	· ·																					
AM Center Morking 3.49 3.46 3.50 3.51 3.53 3.50 3.51 3.55 3.50																		2.12	1.78	1.89	3.02	3.2
AM Center Mentoring																						
Sc. Facilities adequate 3.56 3.73 3.82 3.49 3.54 3.71 3.86 3.72 3.71 3.69 3.75 3.71 3.69 3.59 3.66 3.58 3.46 3.46 2.47 2.88 3.24 3.46 2.47 2.88 3.24 3.46 2.47 2.88 3.24 3.48 3.																						
Sc. Services adequate/Bookstore 3.32 3.29 3.7 3.59 3.50 3.66 3.89 3.72 3.71 3.69 3.49 3.6 3.63 3.61 3.60 3.60 3.71 3.60 3.60 3.72 3.7																						
Aramark services adequate	·														3.58	3.44	3.46	2.47	2.88	3.24		
Human Resource Dept. 3.59 3.56 3.47 3.41 3.46 3.55 3.65 3.65 3.69 3.21 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.12 3.03 3.34 3.43 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3											3.69	3.49	3.6	3.63								
The Woodlands Center facilities	-																					
The Woodlands Center staff #VALUEI 4.17 3.95 4.04 3.99 4.19 3.8 3.8 3.68 3.8 3.72														3.5	3.12	3.03	3.34	3.43	3.3	3.3	3.32	3.2
Parking 2.84 3.17 1.99 2.32 2.35 2.93 2.87 2.91 2.4 2.52 3.11 3.44 3.27 2.78 2.72																						
Work environment 3.57 3.74 3.57 3.64 3.67 4.05 4.06 4.13 3.88 3.98 3.66 3.81 3.7 3.31 3.46																						
Free from intimidation/discrimination 3.54 3.82 3.75 3.94 3.88 4.21 3.96 4.01 4.06 3.99 3.87 3.86 3.87 3.47 3.62	Parking					-																
Physically safe on campus	Work environment																					
3.80 3.68 3.74 3.73 3.78 3.78 3.5 3.74 3.62 3.42 3.59 3.75 3.54 3.48 3.39 3.09 3.09 3.09 3.45 3.32 3.41 3.55 3.66 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67 3.67																						
Teaching Load is fair																						
Recognition for teaching 3.31 3.28 3.51 3.46 3.52 3.52 3.29 3.3 3.45 3.27 3.13 3.23 3.3 2.77 2.69																	3.09	3.09	3.45	3.32	3.41	3.5
Recognition for research 3.27 3.22 3.48 3.51 3.6 3.69 3.46 3.36 3.6 3.6 3.52 3.32 3.46 3.54 3.02 3.06	Teaching Load is fair																					
Recognition for service 3.19 3.15 3.24 3.25 3.27 3.25 3.02 3.08 3.24 3.03 3.03 3.01 3.21 2.79 2.58	Recognition for teaching					-																
Clerical Support in dept./collegial support 3.61 3.61 3.7 3.63 3.59 3.68 3.48 3.43 3.42 3.49 3.31 3.4 3.89 3.16 3.24	Recognition for research															3.06						
Clerical Support in dept./collegial support within my department/program. 3.74 3.73 3.69 3.77 3.88 4.03 3.98 3.95 3.99 3.95 3.92 3.93 3.89 3.6 3.72 Reassigned time 3.17 3.19 3.09 3.22 3.18 3.28 3.2 3.16 3.22 3.17 3.07 3.15 3.16 2.94 3.03 2.82 2.76 2.98 3 2.78 3.1 Faculty Research Fund < 5000 3.71 3.41 3.7 3.53 3.55 3.54 3.44 3.54 3.63 3.57 3.44 3.34 3.06 3.03 3.03 2.82 2.73 DEA Administered 2.82 2.83 3.13 3.12 3.02 2.85 2.93 2.76 2.92 2.81 2.82 2.77 2.9 DEA Administered 2.61 2.73 2.76 2.86 2.63 2.79 2.6 2.48 2.63 2.79 2.6 2.48 2.63 2.42 2.55 2.47 2.66 2.48 2.22 2.41 2.38 2.27 2.58 2.5 2.7	Recognition for service																					
within my department/program. 3.74 3.73 3.69 3.77 3.88 4.03 3.98 3.95 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.92 3.93 3.99 3.99	Clerical Support	3.61	3.61	3.7	3.63	3.59	3.68	3.48	3.43	3.42	3.49	3.31	3.4	3.89	3.16	3.24						
Reasigned time 3.17 3.19 3.09 3.22 3.18 3.28 3.2 3.16 3.22 3.17 3.07 3.15 3.16 2.94 3.03 2.82 2.76 2.98 3 2.78 3.1 Faculty Research Fund < 5000 3.71 3.41 3.7 3.53 3.53 3.54 3.48 3.48 3.6 3.83 3.93 3.09 3.07 3.02 2.93 2.73 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		3.74	2 72	3.00	, , , ,	, , , ,	4.03	2.00	2.05	2.00	2.05	2.02	2.02	2.00	, ,	2 72						
Faculty Research Fund <5000 3.71 3.41 3.7 3.53 3.36 3.48 3.48 3.6 3.88 3.39 3.09 3.07 3.02 2.93 2.73																	2.00	3 = 0	2.00		3.50	2.1
Enhancement Grant for Research 3.70 3.5 3.65 3.54 3.44 3.54 3.63 3.57 3.44 3.34 3.06 3.03 3.03 2.82 2.57									_								2.82	2.76	2.98	3	2.78	3.1
DEA Administered 2.82 2.83 3.13 3.12 3.02 2.85 2.93 2.76 2.92 2.81 2.82 2.77 2.9 9 9 9 9 DEA Accuracy 2.61 2.73 2.76 2.86 2.63 2.79 2.6 2.48 2.63 2.42 2.56 2.47 2.66 2.48 2.22 2.41 2.38 2.27 2.58 2.5 2.7																		-			-	
DEA Accuracy 2.61 2.73 2.76 2.86 2.63 2.79 2.6 2.48 2.63 2.42 2.56 2.47 2.66 2.48 2.22 2.41 2.38 2.27 2.58 2.5 2.7															2.82	2.57		-			-	
																		-			-	
DEA Un-Line Administered 2.74 2.82 2.65 2.85 2.41 2.41 2.32	IDEA Accuracy					-				2.63	2.42	2.56	2.47	2.66	2.48	2.22	2.41	2.38	2.27	2.58	2.5	2.7
	IDEA On-Line Administered	2.74	2.82	2.65	2.85	2.41	2.41	2.32														

	17-18	16-17	15-16	14-15	13-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	04-05	03-04	02-03	01-02	00-01	99-00	98-99	97-98
IDEA On-Line Accuracy	2.	55 2.6	5 2.43	2.64	2.17	2.31	2.03														
Chair evaluation of my teaching	3.	64 3.6	3.62	2 3.7	3.65	3.82	3.76	3.74	3.68	3.81	3.6	3.64	3.78	3.49	3.67	3.62	3.34	3.62	3.4	3.2	2 3.3
FES Instrument is adequate	3.	16 3.1	3.14	1 3.2	3.23	3.31	3.06	3.15	3.08		2.93	3.12	3.2	2.56	2.43	1					
Merit System is applied fairly	2.	94 2.9	7 3.18	3	2.99	3.18	2.82	3.01	2.98	3.08	3										
Market Adjustments applied fairly	2.	32 2.2	3 2.48	3 2.31	2.22	2.56	2.45	2.62	2.78	3.01	2.91	2.92	2.96	2.55	2.56	2.74	2.46	2.38	2.67	2.52	2.9
Promotion System is applied fairly	3.	31 3.2	3.4	1 3.25	3.4	3.59	3.47	3.21	3.28		3.25	3.27	3.24	2.71	2.71	2.82	2.8	3.09	2.93	2.82	3.2
Tenure System is applied fairly	3.	43 3.6	3.50	3.44	3.76	3.92	3.84	3.76	3.72	3.29											
Tenure Process clear at univ. level	3.	27 3.3	5 3.34	3.11	3.33	3.25	3.14	2.64	3.01	3.66	3.47	3.74	3.6	3.32	3.54	3.46	3.42	3.63	3.54	3.54	↓ 3.7
Post Tenure Review	3.	42 3.5	1 3.45	3.44	3.47	3.81	3.73	3.75	3.82	3.91	3.67	3.71	3.68	3.3	3.51	3.63	3.29	3.57	3.45		
Collegiality for tenure & promotion	3.	59 3.8	1 3.69	3.58	3.72																
Collegiality for post-tenure & promotion	3.	60 3.	3.0	3.6	3.72																
Salary at SHSU	2.	57 2.5	2.69	2.68	2.66	2.85	2.56	2.79	2.89	2.79	2.64	2.59	2.6	2.57	7 2.61	2.53	2.46	2.36	2.47	2.11	i I
Salary at Other Universities	2.	35 2.3	3 2.45	2.58	2.45	2.51	2.3	2.45	2.57	2.39	2.46	2.32	2.23	2.24	2.13	2.14	1.99	2	2.09	1.8	\$
Satisfied at SHSU	3.	79 3.7	4 3.68	3.8	3.89	4.11	3.85	3.78	3.92	4.01	3.71	4.07	4.02	3.53	3.57	3.66	3.71	3.72	3.5	3.9	.